

Yang-Mills connections over manifolds with Grassmann structure

Dmitri V. Alekseevsky¹, Vicente Cortés^{2,3}, Chandrashekar Devchand²

¹ Dept. of Mathematics, University of Hull, Cottingham Road, Hull, HU6 7RX, UK

² Mathematisches Institut der Universität Bonn, Beringstraße 1, D-53115 Bonn, Germany

³ Institut Élie Cartan, Université Nancy 1, B.P. 239, F-54506 Vandoeuvre-lès-Nancy Cedex, France

D.V.Alekseevsky@maths.hull.ac.uk , cortes@iecn.u-nancy.fr , devchand@math.uni-bonn.de

Abstract

Let M be a manifold with Grassmann structure, i.e. with an isomorphism of the cotangent bundle $T^*M \cong E \otimes H$ with the tensor product of two vector bundles E and H . We define the notion of a half-flat connection ∇^W in a vector bundle $W \rightarrow M$ as a connection whose curvature $F \in S^2 E \otimes \wedge^2 H \otimes \text{End } W \subset \wedge^2 T^*M \otimes \text{End } W$. Under appropriate assumptions, for example, when the Grassmann structure is associated with a quaternionic Kähler structure on M , half-flatness implies the Yang-Mills equations. Inspired by the harmonic space approach, we develop a local construction of (holomorphic) half-flat connections ∇^W over a complex manifold with (holomorphic) Grassmann structure equipped with a suitable linear connection. Any such connection ∇^W can be obtained from a prepotential by solving a system of linear first order ODEs. The construction can be applied, for instance, to the complexification of hyper-Kähler manifolds or more generally to hyper-Kähler manifolds with admissible torsion and to their higher-spin analogues. It yields solutions of the Yang-Mills equations.

This work was supported by the ‘Schwerpunktprogramm Stringtheorie’ of the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, MPI für Mathematik (Bonn) and the SFB 256 (University of Bonn).

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Generalised self-duality for manifolds of dimension greater than four	5
2.1	Yang-Mills data	5
2.2	Self-duality conditions	6
2.3	Quaternionic Kähler case	11
2.4	Self-duality as half-flatness	12
3	Manifolds with half-flat holomorphic Grassmann structure	13
3.1	Grassmann structure	13
3.2	Harmonic space	14
3.3	Canonical distributions on harmonic space	16
4	Construction of half-flat connections over half-flat Grassmann manifolds	17
4.1	Half-flat connections over half-flat Grassmann manifolds	17
4.2	The construction	20
4.2.1	Construction of almost half-flat connections	20
4.2.2	Transformation to the central frame	23
4.2.3	Construction of half-flat connections	24
4.3	Application to hyper-Kähler manifolds with admissible torsion	26
5	Generalisation to higher-spin Grassmann manifolds	27
5.1	Higher-spin Grassmann structures	27
5.2	Partially flat connections over higher-spin Grassmann manifolds	28
5.3	Construction of partially flat connections over higher spin Grassmann manifolds	31
5.3.1	Construction of 0-partially flat connections	31
5.3.2	Construction of 1-partially flat connections	36

1 Introduction

The Yang-Mills self-duality equations have played an important role in field theory and in differential geometry. They are the main source of examples of solutions of the Yang-Mills equations on four-dimensional manifolds [AHS]. The self-duality equations $*F^\nabla = F^\nabla$ mean that the curvature F^∇ of a connection ∇ over a Riemannian 4-fold M is an eigenvector of the Hodge star operator, associated with the volume 4-form, which acts on two-forms. This apparently four-dimensional construction has an analogue in Riemannian manifolds M of arbitrary dimensions. Any 4-form Ω on M defines an endomorphism B_Ω of the space of 2-forms and one can define (Ω, λ) -self-duality as the condition, $B_\Omega F^\nabla = \lambda F^\nabla$, that the curvature is an eigenvector of B_Ω with eigenvalue $\lambda = \text{const} \neq 0$. Under appropriate assumptions on Ω (for example, if it is co-closed) this implies the Yang-Mills equations, just as in four dimensions. For instance, this works for a constant Ω in flat space [CDFN, W] and for a parallel 4-form on a Riemannian manifold with special holonomy (some examples are discussed in [CS, N, BKS, DT, T]). If Ω is, for example, the canonical parallel 4-form associated to a quaternionic Kähler manifold M of dimension $4m$, then the eigenspaces of B_Ω are the irreducible $\text{Sp}(m) \cdot \text{Sp}(1)$ -submodules of the space of two-forms. In terms of the associated locally defined Grassmann structure $T^{*\mathbb{C}}M = E \otimes H$, i.e. the identification of the complexified cotangent bundle $T^{*\mathbb{C}}M$ with a tensor product of two vector bundles E and H of rank $2m$ and 2 respectively, the eigenspace decomposition is given by

$$\wedge^2 T^{*\mathbb{C}}M = S^2 E \otimes \wedge^2 H \oplus \wedge_0^2 E \otimes S^2 H \oplus \omega_E \otimes S^2 H ,$$

with corresponding B_Ω -eigenvalues $\lambda_1=1$, $\lambda_2=-1/3$, $\lambda_3=-(2m+1)/3$ [W, CGK]. Here ω_E and ω_H are two-forms on E^* and H^* such that the complex metric on $T^{\mathbb{C}}M$ is given by $\omega_E \otimes \omega_H$ and $\wedge_0^2 E$ denotes the traceless part of $\wedge^2 E$ with respect to ω_E . The eigenspaces of B_Ω can thus be described in terms of the Grassmann structure, which is a natural generalisation of the well-known spinor decomposition of a vector in four dimensions. A 2-form on any manifold with Grassmann structure is called half-flat if it belongs to the eigenspace $S^2 E \otimes \wedge^2 H$ and a connection ∇ with half-flat curvature is called half-flat. If the Grassmann structure is associated with the quaternionic Kähler structure, then a half-flat connection is the same as an (Ω, λ_1) -self-dual connection and hence satisfies the Yang-Mills equations. Inspired by the harmonic space approach [GIOS], we develop a construction of locally-defined holomorphic half-flat connections on a manifold M with holomorphic admissible half-flat Grassmann structure, namely, a holomorphic Grassmann structure $T^*M = E \otimes H$ with holomorphic connections ∇^E and ∇^H in the bundles E and H respectively, such that ∇^H is flat and the torsion of the linear connection $\nabla = \nabla^E \otimes \text{Id} + \text{Id} \otimes \nabla^H$ has no component in $S^3 H \otimes E^* \otimes \wedge^2 E$. The construction associates to a holomorphic

prepotential a half-flat connection through the solution of a system of linear first order ODEs. The construction can be applied, for instance, to the complexification of hyper-Kähler manifolds or, more generally, to hyper-Kähler manifolds with admissible torsion. Our construction of gauge fields on such curved backgrounds extends that of [GIOS], where flat torsionfree backgrounds were considered. Moreover, we provide a geometric description of the harmonic space method of [GIOS].

We note that using analytic continuation any real analytic connection ∇ over a real analytic Grassmann manifold allows extension to a holomorphic connection $\nabla^{\mathbb{C}}$ over a holomorphic Grassmann manifold and ∇ can be reconstructed from $\nabla^{\mathbb{C}}$ in terms of some antiholomorphic involution.

The main idea of our construction is to pull-back a half-flat connection ∇ in a holomorphic vector bundle $\nu : W \rightarrow M$ to the harmonic space S_H . The latter is the space of all symplectic frames $h = (h_+, h_-)$ in the vector bundle H^* . The group $\mathrm{Sp}(1, \mathbb{C})$ acts freely on S_H , with the orbit space $S_H/\mathrm{Sp}(1, \mathbb{C}) = M$. Hence, the projection $\pi : S_H \rightarrow M$ is an $\mathrm{Sp}(1, \mathbb{C})$ -principal bundle. Choosing a (local) trivialisation, $M \ni x \mapsto (h_1(x), h_2(x)) \in S_H$, of π we can make the identification $S_H = \mathrm{Sp}(1, \mathbb{C}) \times M$. There exists a canonical decomposition,

$$TS_H = T^v S_H \oplus \mathcal{D}_+ \oplus \mathcal{D}_- ,$$

of the (holomorphic) tangent bundle into the vertical sub-bundle $T^v S_H$ and two (holomorphic) distributions $\mathcal{D}_+$ and $\mathcal{D}_-$ spanned respectively by vector fields X_+^e and X_-^e canonically associated with sections e of the bundle E^* . If the Grassmann structure is admissible and half-flat, the distributions $\mathcal{D}_+$ and $\mathcal{D}_-$ are integrable. The vertical distribution $T^v S_H$ is spanned by vector fields $\partial_0, \partial_{++}, \partial_{--}$, which correspond to the standard generators of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sp}(1, \mathbb{C})$. A half-flat connection ∇ in the bundle $\nu : W \rightarrow M$ induces the pull-back connection $\pi^* \nabla$ in the pull-back bundle $\pi^* \nu : \pi^* W \rightarrow S_H$. Since ∇ is half-flat, the curvature F of $\pi^* \nabla$ satisfies certain equations (see Definitions 6 and 7). A connection in $\pi^* \nu$ satisfying these equations is called a half-flat connection over S_H and is gauge equivalent to the pull-back of a half-flat connection over M . Any half-flat connection over S_H is flat along the leaves of the integrable distribution $\langle \mathcal{D}_+, \partial_0 \rangle$ spanned by ∂_0 and $\mathcal{D}_+$. We can therefore choose a frame of the vector bundle $\pi^* \nu$ which is parallel along its leaves. Such a frame is called an analytic frame. With respect to such a frame a half-flat connection has no potentials in the directions of the distribution $\langle \mathcal{D}_+, \partial_0 \rangle$. Starting from a matrix-valued function (prepotential) A_{++} on S_H , which is constant along the leaves of the distribution $\mathcal{D}_+$ and satisfies the homogeneity condition $\partial_0 A_{++} = 2A_{++}$, we construct a connection which satisfies almost all the conditions of half-flatness. We call such a connection an almost half-flat connection. It is half-flat if and only if its curvature satisfies the equation $F(\partial_{--}, \mathcal{D}_-) = 0$. The construction of an almost half-flat connection

reduces to the solution of first order linear ODEs. Assuming that the almost half-flat connection ∇ is defined globally along the fibres (over $\pi^{-1}U$, where $U \subset M$ is a domain in M) we can modify ∇ to a half-flat connection over S_H which is the pull-back of a half-flat connection over M . In order to do this, we re-write ∇ with respect to a “central frame”, namely, a frame parallel along the fibres of π . The transformation from the analytic to the central frame reduces to the solution of the system of equations

$$\partial_{++}\Phi = -A_{++}\Phi \quad , \quad \partial_0\Phi = 0 \quad .$$

With respect to the central frame the potential $C(X_+^e)$ of the connection ∇ in the direction of the vector field $X_+^e \in \mathcal{D}_+$ has the form $C(X_+^e) = u_+^\alpha C_\alpha^e$, where C_α^e are matrix-valued functions on $M = M \times \{\text{Id}\} \subset M \times \text{Sp}(1, \mathbb{C})$ and $u_\pm^\alpha$, $\alpha = 1, 2$, are matrix coefficients of $\text{Sp}(1, \mathbb{C})$. The matrix-valued functions C_1^e, C_2^e define the desired half-flat connection on M given by

$$\nabla_{e \otimes h_1}^M = e \otimes h_1 + C_1^e \quad , \quad \nabla_{e \otimes h_2}^M = e \otimes h_2 + C_2^e \quad .$$

Moreover, any half-flat connection may be obtained in this way.

The above construction allows generalisation to manifolds with spin $\frac{m}{2}$ Grassmann structure. This means that the cotangent bundle is identified as $T^*M = E \otimes F = E \otimes S^m H$, where E and H are (holomorphic) vector bundles of rank p and 2 respectively. If a connection ∇^E on E and a flat connection ∇^H on H are given, then the Grassmann structure is called half-flat. The connection ∇^H defines a flat connection ∇^F on $F = S^m H$ and the linear connection $\nabla = \nabla^E \otimes \text{Id} + \text{Id} \otimes \nabla^F$. The associated harmonic space $\pi : S_H \rightarrow M$ is defined as above, as the space of all symplectic frames $h = (h_+, h_-)$ in H^* . Its tangent space has decomposition

$$TS_H = T^v S_H \oplus \bigoplus_{k=0}^m \mathcal{D}_{k+} \oplus \bigoplus_{k=1}^m \mathcal{D}_{k-} \quad .$$

Under certain conditions on the torsion of ∇ the distribution $\mathcal{D}_{(+)}^k := \bigoplus_{i=0}^k \mathcal{D}_{(m-2i)+}$, $k \leq m/2$, is integrable. Such a half-flat Grassmann structure is called k -admissible. Generalising the notion of a half-flat connection, we may define a k -partially flat connection ∇ over a manifold with half-flat spin $\frac{m}{2}$ Grassmann structure such that the pull-back connection $\pi^*\nabla$ has no curvature in the directions of $\mathcal{D}_{(+)}^k$. The harmonic space method can be applied to construct k -partially flat connections over k -admissible half-flat spin $\frac{m}{2}$ Grassmann manifolds. In the final section we consider the case of $m = 3$ and sketch the construction of 0- and 1-partially flat connections. The latter are Yang-Mills connections.

We should like to thank Andrea Spiro for useful comments on the manuscript.

2 Generalised self-duality for manifolds of dimension greater than four

2.1 Yang-Mills data

Let $\nu : W \rightarrow M$ be a real vector bundle over M and ∇ a connection in ν , that is a bilinear map

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla : \mathfrak{X}(M) \times \Gamma(\nu) &\rightarrow \Gamma(\nu) \\ (X, \sigma) &\mapsto \nabla_X \sigma \end{aligned}$$

which is $C^\infty(M)$ -linear in the vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ and satisfies the Leibniz rule $\nabla_X(f\sigma) = (Xf)\sigma + f\nabla_X\sigma$, for any function $f \in C^\infty(M)$ and any section, $\sigma \in \Gamma(\nu)$, of ν . The map ∇ can be extended to a complex bilinear map,

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla : \mathfrak{X}^\mathbb{C}(M) \times \Gamma(W^\mathbb{C} \rightarrow M) &\rightarrow \Gamma(W^\mathbb{C} \rightarrow M) \\ (X, \sigma) &\mapsto \nabla_X \sigma, \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where $\mathfrak{X}^\mathbb{C}(M)$ is the space of complex vector fields $X+iY$; $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ and $W^\mathbb{C} \rightarrow M$ is the complexification of the vector bundle ν . Note that ∇ satisfies the reality condition

$$\nabla_{\overline{X}} \overline{\sigma} = \overline{\nabla_X \sigma}, \quad X \in \mathfrak{X}^\mathbb{C}(M), \quad \sigma \in \Gamma(W^\mathbb{C} \rightarrow M), \tag{2.2}$$

where the bar denotes complex conjugation. Conversely, any $\mathbb{C}$ -bilinear map (2.1) which is $\mathfrak{X}^\mathbb{C}(M)$ -linear and satisfies the Leibniz rule and the reality condition (2.2), defines a connection ∇ in the real vector bundle ν . If the reality condition (2.2) is dropped, then (2.1) defines a connection in the complex vector bundle $W^\mathbb{C} \rightarrow M$.

Let $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_r)$ denote a local frame of ν such that for any section $\sigma \in \Gamma(\nu)$, $\sigma = \sum s^i \varphi_i =: \varphi \cdot s$, where s^i are the coordinates of σ with respect to the frame φ and $s = (s^1, \dots, s^r)^t$. Then the connection ∇ in ν has local expression

$$\nabla_X \sigma = \nabla_X(s^i \varphi_i) = \varphi \cdot \nabla_X s := (X s^i + \sum_j A_j^i(X) s^j) \varphi_i,$$

where $A_j^i(X) = (\nabla_X \varphi_j, \varphi^i)$ and $\varphi^* = (\varphi^1, \dots, \varphi^r)$ denotes the dual frame. The locally defined matrix-valued 1-form $A = (A_j^i) : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(r, \mathbb{R})$ is called the Yang-Mills potential with respect to the frame φ . If the vector bundle ν has structure group G , i.e. if it is a bundle associated with a principal G -bundle $P \rightarrow M$ and a representation $\rho : G \rightarrow GL(r, \mathbb{R})$, such that $W = P \times_G \mathbb{R}^r$, then we may always choose a frame φ for which the potential takes values in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie } \rho(G) \subset \mathfrak{gl}(r, \mathbb{R})$. We will symbolically write $\nabla_X = X + A$, $A = A^\varphi$. A change of frame (gauge transformation) $\varphi' = \varphi U$ induces

changes $s' = U^{-1}s$ and $\varphi'(X + A'(X))s' = \varphi'\nabla_X s' = \varphi\nabla_X s = \varphi(X + A(X))s = \varphi'U^{-1}(X + A(X))Us'$, yielding the transformation rule for the potential,

$$A' = U^{-1}(XU) + U^{-1}A(X)U = U^{-1}\nabla_X U. \quad (2.3)$$

The curvature of the connection ∇ , $F = F^\nabla \in \Omega_M^2(\text{End } W) = \Gamma(\wedge^2 T^*M \otimes \text{End } W)$, is given by

$$\begin{aligned} F(X, Y) &= [\nabla_X, \nabla_Y] - \nabla_{[X, Y]} \\ &= XA(Y) - YA(X) + [A(X), A(Y)] - A([X, Y]). \end{aligned}$$

The Jacobi identity for ∇_X is equivalent to the Bianchi identity, $d^\nabla F^\nabla = 0$. Here the covariant derivative $d^\nabla : \Omega^p(\text{End } W) \rightarrow \Omega^{p+1}(\text{End } W)$ is defined by

$$d^\nabla(\omega \otimes C) = d\omega \otimes C + (-1)^p \omega \wedge \nabla C,$$

where ω is a p -form and C is a section of $\text{End } W$. (The connection ∇ on W induces a connection on $\text{End } W$ denoted by the same symbol.)

On any n -dimensional oriented pseudo-Riemannian (or complex Riemannian) manifold, (M, g) using the canonical volume form $\text{vol}^g \in \wedge^n T^*M$, we define the Hodge $*$ operator which interchanges forms of complementary degree, $*$: $\wedge^p T^*M \rightarrow \wedge^{n-p} T^*M$, by the relation: $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \text{vol}^g = \alpha \wedge * \beta$, where $\alpha, \beta \in \wedge^p T^*M$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the natural scalar product on $\wedge^p T^*M$ induced by the metric g . We define $*$: $\wedge^p T^*M \otimes \text{End } W \rightarrow \wedge^{n-p} T^*M \otimes \text{End } W$ by $*(\omega \otimes C) := (*\omega \otimes C)$.

Definition 1 *Let $\nu : W \rightarrow M$ be a real vector bundle over a pseudo-Riemannian manifold (M, g) . A **YM connection** ∇ in ν is one which satisfies the Yang-Mills equation*

$$d^\nabla * F^\nabla = 0.$$

On a closed manifold this is the Euler-Lagrange equation for the YM functional

$$\|F^\nabla\|^2 = \int_M |F^\nabla|^2 \text{vol}^g, \quad (2.4)$$

where the norm on $\wedge^2 T^*M \otimes \text{End } W$ is induced by the pseudo-Riemannian metric on M and the natural metric on $\text{End } W$.

2.2 Self-duality conditions

On a Riemannian four-manifold, the $*$ operator maps 2-forms to 2-forms and has eigenvalues ± 1 . The curvature tensor therefore has decomposition into the eigenspaces of the $*$ operator,

$$F^\nabla = F_{+1}^\nabla \oplus F_{-1}^\nabla \in \Omega_M^+(\text{End } W) \oplus \Omega_M^-(\text{End } W).$$

This splitting corresponds to the decomposition of the $\mathrm{SO}(4)$ -module $\wedge^2 \mathbb{R}^4 = \wedge_+^2 \oplus \wedge_-^2 \cong \mathfrak{so}(4) = \mathfrak{sp}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(1)$ into its irreducible submodules. We call ∇ and F^∇ self-dual or anti-self-dual if $F_{-1}^\nabla := \frac{1}{2}(F^\nabla - *F^\nabla) = 0$ or $F_{+1}^\nabla := \frac{1}{2}(F^\nabla + *F^\nabla) = 0$, respectively. For (anti-) self-dual connections, the YM equation, $d^\nabla * F^\nabla = 0$, is an immediate consequence of the Bianchi identity, $d^\nabla F^\nabla = 0$. On closed manifolds (anti-) self-dual connections in fact minimise the YM functional (2.4), since the inequality

$$\|F^\nabla\|^2 = \|F_{+1}^\nabla\|^2 + \|F_{-1}^\nabla\|^2 \geq \left| \|F_{+1}^\nabla\|^2 - \|F_{-1}^\nabla\|^2 \right| = 8\pi^2 |c_2(W)[M]|$$

is saturated. Here $c_2(W)[M] = \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_M \mathrm{tr} \ F^\nabla \wedge F^\nabla$ is the evaluation of the second Chern class of the bundle W on the fundamental cycle.

The apparently four-dimensional notion of self-duality, has an analogue in higher dimensions. The construction originally given in [CDFN] for flat spaces, extends to arbitrary manifolds (M, g) , of dimension greater than four, as follows.

For $\Omega \in \Omega^4(M)$ we define a symmetric tracefree endomorphism field $B_\Omega : \wedge^2 T^*M \rightarrow \wedge^2 T^*M$ by

$$B_\Omega \omega := *(*\Omega \wedge \omega), \quad (2.5)$$

where $\omega \in \wedge^2 T^*M$. This endomorphism is zero if and only if the 4-form Ω is zero. Moreover, we have:

Lemma 1 *Let*

$$\Omega = \sum \Omega_{ijkl} e^i \wedge e^j \wedge e^k \wedge e^l, \quad \omega = \sum \omega_{ij} e^i \wedge e^j$$

*be the expressions for Ω and ω with respect to a frame e^i of T^*M . Then B_Ω is given as the contraction*

$$B_\Omega \omega = 12 \sum g^{ii'} g^{jj'} \Omega_{ijkl} \omega_{i'j'} e^k \wedge e^l.$$

Proof: It is sufficient to check the above formula for decomposable forms $\Omega = e^i \wedge e^j \wedge e^k \wedge e^l$ and $\omega = e^m \wedge e^n$, where the e^i form an orthonormal basis of T^*M . $\square$

Definition 2 *A 4-form $\Omega \in \Omega^4(M)$ on a pseudo-Riemannian manifold M is called **appropriate** if there exists a nonzero real constant eigenvalue λ of the endomorphism field B_Ω .*

We note that on a Riemannian manifold the eigenvalues of B_Ω are real for any 4-form Ω . A generalisation of the four dimensional notion of self-duality may now be defined:

Definition 3 Let Ω be an appropriate 4-form on a pseudo-Riemannian manifold (M, g) and $\lambda \neq 0 \in \mathbb{R}$. A connection ∇ in a vector bundle $\nu : W \rightarrow M$ is **(Ω, λ) -self-dual** if its curvature F^∇ satisfies the linear algebraic system

$$B_\Omega F^\nabla = \lambda F^\nabla \quad (2.6)$$

$$(d * \Omega) \wedge F^\nabla = 0. \quad (2.7)$$

Theorem 1 Let (M, g) be a pseudo-Riemannian manifold with an appropriate 4-form Ω . Then any (Ω, λ) -self-dual connection ∇ is a YM connection.

Proof: Using (2.6) and (2.5) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d^\nabla * F^\nabla &= \frac{1}{\lambda} d^\nabla * B_\Omega F^\nabla = \pm \frac{1}{\lambda} d^\nabla (*\Omega \wedge F^\nabla) \\ &= \pm \frac{1}{\lambda} ((d * \Omega) \wedge F^\nabla + * \Omega \wedge d^\nabla F^\nabla) \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

in virtue of (2.7) and the Bianchi identity $d^\nabla F^\nabla = 0$. □

Examples of manifolds admitting appropriate 4-forms are easily obtained. Let V be a pseudo-Euclidean vector space and $G \subset \text{SO}(V)$ be a linear group preserving a nonzero element $\Omega_0 \in \wedge^4 V$. Denote by Ω_{ijkl} the components of Ω_0 with respect to an orthonormal basis of V . Given a manifold M with a G -structure, $\pi : P \rightarrow M$, i.e. a principal G -subbundle of the bundle of frames on M , we can define a 4-form $\Omega := \sum \Omega_{ijkl} e^i \wedge e^j \wedge e^k \wedge e^l$, where $(e^1, \dots, e^n)$ is a coframe dual to a G -frame $p = (e_1, \dots, e_n) \in P$. Since $G \subset \text{SO}(V)$, M has the structure of an oriented pseudo-Riemannian manifold and we can define the operator B_Ω . The matrix components of $B_\Omega = \sum B_{ij}^{kl} e^i \wedge e^j \otimes e_k \wedge e_l$ are constant for any G -frame and so are its eigenvalues. Hence Ω is appropriate if the endomorphism $B_{\Omega_0} \in \wedge^4 V$ has a nonzero real eigenvalue λ . This is automatic in the Riemannian case.

There exist many examples of subgroups $G \subset \text{SO}(V)$ admitting nonzero G -invariant 4-forms, as shown by the following construction. Let $G \subset \text{SO}(V)$ be a closed subgroup of the pseudo-orthogonal group $\text{SO}(V)$ and $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{so}(V) \cong \wedge^2 V^*$ its Lie algebra. Assume that $\mathfrak{g}$ admits a G -invariant symmetric nondegenerate bilinear form $B \in S^2(\mathfrak{g}^*)^G$, where W^G denotes the space of G -invariant elements of a G -module W . We can then identify $\mathfrak{g}$ with its dual $\mathfrak{g}^*$ via B and consider B as an element of $(S^2(\mathfrak{g}))^G \subset (S^2 \wedge^2 V^*)^G$. A G -invariant 4-form is then defined by $\Omega_0^G := \text{alt } B \in (\wedge^4 V^*)^G$, where $\text{alt} : S^2 \wedge^2 V^* \rightarrow \wedge^4 V^*$ denotes alternation. We denote the corresponding 4-form on a manifold with G -structure by Ω^G . The following variant of a theorem by Kostant [K] provides a wealth of examples of nonzero Ω_0^G 's.

Theorem 2 *Let $G \subset \mathrm{SO}(V)$ be a closed subgroup whose Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ admits a non-degenerate G -invariant bilinear form $B \in (S^2\mathfrak{g})^G$. If the G -module V is not equivalent to the isotropy module of a pseudo-Riemannian symmetric space, then the four-form $\Omega_0^G := \mathrm{alt} B \in (\wedge^4 V)^G$ is nonzero.*

Proof: Recall that the $\mathrm{SO}(V)$ -module $S^2 \wedge^2 V$ decomposes according to $S^2 \wedge^2 V = \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{so}(V)) + \wedge^4 V$, where $\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{so}(V))$ denotes the space of curvature tensors of type $\mathfrak{so}(V)$, i.e. the space of two-forms fulfilling the first Bianchi identity or the kernel of the map $\mathrm{alt} : S^2 \wedge^2 V \rightarrow \wedge^4 V$. If $\Omega_0^G = \mathrm{alt} B = 0$, then B is a nonzero element of $\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{so}(V)) \cap S^2(\mathfrak{g})^G = \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})^G$. Since B is a G -invariant two-form on V with values in $\mathfrak{g}$ it can be used to define a Lie bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]$ on the vector space $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{g} \oplus V$ thus:

- (i) $\mathfrak{g}$ is a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{l}$,
- (ii) V is a $\mathfrak{g}$ -submodule with action defined by the inclusion $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{so}(V)$,
- (iii) $[u, v] := B(u, v) \in \mathfrak{g}$ if $u, v \in V$.

The Jacobi identity follows from the Bianchi identity and the G -invariance. Let L be the simply connected Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{l}$. Then L/G_0 is a Riemannian symmetric space with V as its isotropy module, where $G_0 \subset L$ is the connected Lie subgroup with $\mathrm{Lie} G_0 = \mathfrak{g}$. $\square$

Clearly, (2.7) is automatic if the 4-form Ω is co-closed, $d * \Omega = 0$. This is the case, for example, if Ω is parallel. In the Riemannian case the Berger list of irreducible holonomy groups [B] and a theorem of Kostant [K] yield the following result.

Theorem 3 *Let M be a complete simply connected irreducible Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 4$ with holonomy group $\mathrm{Hol} \subset \mathrm{SO}(n)$, $\mathrm{Hol} \neq \mathrm{SO}(n)$. Then M admits a nontrivial parallel 4-form if one of the following holds: i) M is not a symmetric space or ii) M is a symmetric space and has a non-simple holonomy or, equivalently, isotropy group.*

Proof: By Berger's theorem on Riemannian irreducible holonomy groups [B], we have:

- a) M is not a symmetric space and its holonomy group is one of: $\mathrm{U}(\frac{n}{2})$, $\mathrm{SU}(\frac{n}{2})$, $\mathrm{Sp}(\frac{n}{4})\mathrm{Sp}(1)$, $\mathrm{Sp}(\frac{n}{4})$, G_2 , $\mathrm{Spin}(7)$, or
- b) M is a symmetric space.

All the groups in a) admit invariant 4-forms. These are given below. A theorem of Kostant [K] states that a simply-connected irreducible Riemannian symmetric space G/K has no nonzero parallel 4-form if and only if the isotropy group K is simple. $\square$

In the following examples we explicitly describe parallel (hence appropriate) 4-forms Ω on Riemannian n -manifolds with holonomy groups $\mathrm{Hol} \neq \mathrm{SO}(n)$ from Berger's list.

1. Kähler manifolds, $\text{Hol} \subset \text{U}(m) \subset \text{SO}(2m)$, $n = 2m$: $\Omega = \omega \wedge \omega$, where ω is the Kähler form. One can check that this is proportional to $\Omega^{\text{SU}(m)}$ and that any parallel 4-form is proportional to $\omega \wedge \omega$ if the holonomy group is $\text{SU}(m)$ or $\text{U}(m)$. If $\text{Hol} \subset \text{Sp}(k) \subset \text{SU}(2k) \subset \text{SO}(4k)$, $n = 4k > 4$, i.e. if the manifold is hyper-Kähler, there exist three skewsymmetric parallel complex structures J_α , $\alpha = 1, 2, 3$. Then there exist six independent parallel 4-forms $\omega_\alpha \wedge \omega_\beta$, $\alpha, \beta = 1, 2, 3$, where ω_α is the Kähler form associated to J_α . For low dimensional examples, eigenvalues and eigenspaces of B_Ω are given in [CDFN].

2. Quaternionic Kähler manifolds, $\text{Hol} \subset \text{Sp}(m)\text{Sp}(1) \subset \text{SO}(4m)$, $n = 4m$. In this case there exist 3 locally defined almost complex structures J_α , with corresponding Kähler forms ω_α , such that the 4-form $\Omega := \sum_\alpha \omega_\alpha \wedge \omega_\alpha$ is globally defined and parallel. This will be discussed in more detail in section 2.3.

3. $\text{Hol} \subset G_2 \subset \text{SO}(7)$. Let $V = \mathbb{O} = \mathbb{R}1 + \text{Im}\mathbb{O} = \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}^7 = \mathbb{R}^8$ be the algebra of octonions. Recall that $G_2 = \text{Aut}(\mathbb{O})$ is the group of automorphisms of the octonions. We can decompose the product of two octonions a, b into its real and imaginary parts as follows:

$$ab = a \cdot b = \langle a, b \rangle 1 + \frac{1}{2}[a, b],$$

where $\langle a, b \rangle$ is the scalar product and $[a, b] = ab - ba$ is the commutator. We define a 3-form φ and a 4-form ψ on $\text{Im}\mathbb{O} = \mathbb{R}^7$ by the formulas

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(x, y, z) &:= \langle x \cdot y, z \rangle = \frac{1}{2}\langle [x, y], z \rangle \\ \psi(x, y, z, w) &:= \langle [x, y, z], w \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where $[x, y, z] = (xy)z - x(yz)$ is the associator. It is known that $\psi = *\varphi$. Notice that G_2 is the group of isometries of $\mathbb{O} = \mathbb{R}^8$ which fix the identity element 1 and preserve the 3-form φ (or equivalently the 4-form ψ) on $\text{Im}\mathbb{O}$. The 4-form ψ defines a parallel 4-form on any Riemannian 7-fold with holonomy $G_2 \subset \text{SO}(7)$. It is known [OV] that $\wedge^4 \mathbb{R}^7 = \mathbb{R}\psi \oplus V^7(\pi_1) \oplus V^{27}(2\pi_1)$, where $V^d(\pi)$ is the d -dimensional real irreducible representation of G_2 with highest weight π and π_i denotes the i -th fundamental weight of G_2 . From this it follows that the 4-form $\Omega_0^{G_2}$ coincides with ψ up to scaling. The corresponding endomorphism B_ψ of $\wedge^2 \mathbb{R}^7 = \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathbb{R}^7$ has two distinct eigenvalues which correspond to the two irreducible G_2 -submodules $\mathfrak{g}_2$ and $\mathbb{R}^7 \subset \wedge^2 \mathbb{R}^7$ [CDFN].

4. $\text{Hol} \subset \text{Spin}(7) \subset \text{SO}(8)$. Using the 3- and 4-forms φ and ψ on $\mathbb{R}^7$ introduced in the G_2 -case, we construct the 4-form

$$\Omega = dt \wedge \varphi + \psi,$$

where t is the first coordinate on $\mathbb{R}^8 = \mathbb{R}1 + \mathbb{R}^7$. In particular,

$$\Omega(1, x, y, z) = \varphi(x, y, z) \quad , \quad \Omega(x, y, z, w) = \psi(x, y, z, w), \quad x, y, z, w \in \mathbb{R}^7.$$

This 4-form Ω defines a parallel 4-form on any Riemannian 8-fold with holonomy $\text{Spin}(7) \subset \text{SO}(8)$. It is known that $\wedge^4 \mathbb{R}^8 = \mathbb{R}\Omega \oplus V^7(\pi_1) \oplus V^{27}(2\pi_1) \oplus \wedge^4 \mathbb{R}^7$. From this it follows that the 4-form $\Omega_0^{\text{Spin}(7)}$ coincides with Ω up to scaling. The corresponding endomorphism B_Ω of $\wedge^2 \mathbb{R}^8 = \mathfrak{spin}_7 \oplus \mathbb{R}^7$ has two distinct eigenvalues which correspond to the two irreducible $\text{Spin}(7)$ -submodules $\mathfrak{spin}_7$ and $\mathbb{R}^7 \subset \wedge^2 \mathbb{R}^8$ [CDFN].

2.3 Quaternionic Kähler case

Now we discuss in more detail the case of quaternionic Kähler manifolds (example 2 above). Riemannian manifolds (M, g) with holonomy group $\text{Hol} \subset \text{Sp}(m)\text{Sp}(1)$ are called quaternionic Kähler manifolds. A quaternionic Kähler manifold with holonomy group $\text{Hol} \subset \text{Sp}(m)$ is called hyper-Kähler. On any quaternionic Kähler manifold M , there exists a rank 3 vector subbundle $Q \subset \text{End } TM$, invariant under parallel transport, which is locally spanned by three almost complex structures $(J_\alpha) = (J_1, J_2, J_3 = J_1 J_2 = -J_2 J_1)$. The latter are in general only locally defined. The (globally defined) vector bundle Q is called the **quaternionic structure** of M . A local frame (J_α) as above is called a **standard frame** for Q . Similarly, a **standard basis** of Q at $m \in M$, is a triple $I, J, K = IJ = -JI \in Q_m$ of complex structures on $T_m M$. A quaternionic Kähler manifold is hyper-Kähler if and only if there exists a globally defined parallel standard frame $(J_\alpha) = (J_1, J_2, J_3 = J_1 J_2 = -J_2 J_1)$.

Given a standard frame, we may locally define three nondegenerate 2-forms $\omega_\alpha := g(J_\alpha \cdot, \cdot)$. The 4-form

$$\Omega := \sum_{\alpha} \omega_\alpha \wedge \omega_\alpha$$

is independent of the choice of standard frame and defines a global parallel 4-form.

To describe the eigenspace decomposition of Ω it is convenient to use the Grassmann structure (i.e. generalised spinor decomposition) of a quaternionic Kähler manifold. Recall that a **Grassmann structure** on a (real) manifold M is defined as an isomorphism $T^{\mathbb{C}} M \cong E \otimes H$ of the complexified cotangent bundle with the tensor product of two complex vector bundles E and H over M . Any quaternionic Kähler manifold admits a (locally defined) Grassmann structure $T^{\mathbb{C}} M = E \otimes H$, where H has rank 2, such that the holonomy group $\text{Hol} \subset \text{Sp}(E) \otimes \text{Sp}(H)$. This follows from the fact that any complex irreducible representation of the group $\text{Sp}(m) \times \text{Sp}(1)$ is a tensor product of irreducible representations of its factors.

The complex extension $g^{\mathbb{C}}$ of the Riemannian metric defines a complex bilinear metric on $T^{\mathbb{C}} M$, which locally factorises as $g^{\mathbb{C}} = \omega_E \otimes \omega_H$, where ω_E and ω_H are sections of $\wedge^2 E$ and $\wedge^2 H$, defining complex symplectic forms on the fibres of E^* and H^* , respectively. We call ω_E and ω_H the symplectic forms of the symplectic vector bundles E^* and H^* .

In terms of the Grassmann structure the eigenspaces V_λ of the endomorphism B_Ω on $\wedge^2 T^{*\mathbb{C}}M$ are given by [W, CGK]:

$$V_{\lambda_1} = S^2 E \otimes \omega_H \quad , \quad V_{\lambda_2} = \wedge_0^2 E \otimes S^2 H \quad , \quad V_{\lambda_3} = \omega_E \otimes S^2 H \quad ,$$

where $\wedge_0^2 E$ is the space of ω_E -traceless 2-forms and the eigenvalues are $\lambda_1 = 1$, $\lambda_2 = -1/3$ and $\lambda_3 = -(2m+1)/3$. In particular the λ_1 -self-duality condition takes the form

$$F^\nabla \in S^2 E \otimes \omega_H \otimes \text{End } W \quad . \quad (2.8)$$

Note that since Ω is parallel it is appropriate and co-closed and hence the (Ω, λ) -self-duality equations (Definition 3) reduce to (2.6), which implies the Yang-Mills equation. It is known (see Theorem 1 of [CS]) that λ_1 - and λ_3 -self-dual connections correspond to absolute minima of the Yang-Mills functional on compact quaternionic Kähler manifolds.

2.4 Self-duality as half-flatness

The λ_1 -self-duality equation (2.8) in fact depends only the existence of the factorisation $T^{*\mathbb{C}}M \cong E \otimes H$ and the symplectic structure in H^* . A connection ∇ in a vector bundle W over a manifold M with a Grassmann structure is called **half-flat** if its curvature satisfies the condition

$$F^\nabla \in S^2 E \otimes \wedge^2 H \otimes \text{End } W \quad . \quad (2.9)$$

In general such half-flat connections are *not* YM connections (with respect to some metric), but it is possible to impose further conditions on F^∇ in order to enforce the YM equation. In fact it is the half-flatness of the connection, rather than the YM property, which is crucial for our construction of solutions.

Proposition 1 *A connection ∇ in a vector bundle $W \rightarrow M$ over a quaternionic Kähler manifold is half-flat if and only if it is λ_1 -self-dual. Hence any such connection is a Yang-Mills connection.*

Proof: The result follows from (2.8) and (2.9) since $\wedge^2 H$ is the line bundle generated by ω_H . □

The Levi-Civita connection on a hyper-Kähler manifold is an example of a half-flat linear connection. Its complexification gives an example of what we call an admissible half-flat Grassmann structure in the next section.

3 Manifolds with half-flat holomorphic Grassmann structure

Our goal is to give a construction of half-flat connections in a vector bundle $\nu : W \rightarrow M$ over a manifold M . If all objects are real analytic, using analytic continuation we may obtain corresponding complex analytic objects. Specifically, assume that the manifold M and the bundle ν are real analytic. Then M is defined by an atlas of charts with analytic transition functions. Extending these functions to complex holomorphic functions, we may extend M to a complex manifold $M^{\mathbb{C}}$ with antiholomorphic involution τ such that $M = (M^{\mathbb{C}})^{\tau}$, the fixed point set of τ . Similarly, a real analytic vector bundle $\nu : W \rightarrow M$ can be extended to a holomorphic vector bundle $\nu^{\mathbb{C}} : W^{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow M^{\mathbb{C}}$. Moreover, an analytic connection ∇ in ν can be extended to a holomorphic connection ∇ in $\nu^{\mathbb{C}}$. A holomorphic extension of a Yang-Mills connection is also a Yang-Mills connection. In the rest of this paper, we shall assume that all objects (manifolds, bundles and connections) are holomorphic. In section 4 we shall give a construction of half-flat connections in a holomorphic bundle $W \rightarrow M$ over a complex manifold M with holomorphic Grassmann structure. Now we describe the required geometrical notions. In particular, we provide a description of the harmonic spaces of [GIOS] in geometric language. Our description affords application to the construction of half-flat connections over more general manifolds than the flat torsionfree backgrounds previously considered in the harmonic space literature (see e.g. [GIOS]).

3.1 Grassmann structure

Let M be a complex manifold with holomorphic Grassmann structure $T^*M = E \otimes H$, the isomorphism of the holomorphic cotangent bundle over M with the tensor product of holomorphic vector bundles E and H over M of rank p and q respectively. Then $TM = E^* \otimes H^*$. A holomorphic linear connection ∇ on M is called a **holomorphic Grassmann connection** if it preserves the holomorphic Grassmann structure. This means that for any vector field X on M and local sections $e \in \Gamma(E)$ and $h \in \Gamma(H)$,

$$\nabla_X(e \otimes h) = \nabla_X^E e \otimes h + e \otimes \nabla_X^H h$$

where ∇^E, ∇^H are connections in the bundles E, H respectively.

Definition 4 *A holomorphic Grassmann structure, $T^*M = E \otimes H$, on a complex manifold M with a holomorphic Grassmann connection $\nabla = \nabla^E \otimes \text{Id} + \text{Id} \otimes \nabla^H$ is called **half-flat** if the connection ∇^H in the holomorphic vector bundle $H \rightarrow M$ is flat. A manifold with such a half-flat holomorphic Grassmann structure is called a **half-flat Grassmann manifold**.*

Assumption. In this section we assume that M is a manifold with a half-flat holomorphic Grassmann structure $(T^*M = E \otimes H, \nabla = \nabla^E \otimes \text{Id} + \text{Id} \otimes \nabla^H)$, such that H has rank 2 and that a ∇^H -parallel nondegenerate fibre-wise 2-form $\omega_H \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 H)$ in the bundle H^* is fixed. If, in addition, a ∇^E -parallel nondegenerate two-form $\omega_E \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 E)$ is fixed, then we can define a ∇ -parallel complex Riemannian metric $g = \omega_E \otimes \omega_H$ on M . We do not assume, in general, that the linear connection ∇ is torsion-free.

The torsion of a linear connection belongs to $TM \otimes \wedge^2 T^*M$. Since $T^*M = E \otimes H$, we have the decomposition,

$$\begin{aligned} TM \otimes \wedge^2 T^*M &= TM \otimes (\wedge^2 E \otimes S^2 H \oplus S^2 E \otimes \wedge^2 H) \\ &= E^* H^* (\wedge^2 E S^2 H \oplus S^2 E \omega_H) \\ &\cong E^* \wedge^2 E (S^3 H \oplus \omega_H H) \oplus E^* S^2 E \omega_H H, \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

where we omit the $\otimes$'s and we identify H^* with H using ω_H .

Definition 5 A half-flat connection is called **admissible** if its torsion tensor has no component in $E^* \otimes \wedge^2 E \otimes S^3 H$. A half-flat Grassmann manifold (M, ∇) is called **admissible** if ∇ is **admissible**.

We remark that if the torsion of a half-flat connection is E -symmetric, i.e. if it belongs to $TM \otimes S^2 E \otimes \wedge^2 H = TM \otimes S^2 E \otimes \omega_H$, then the connection is admissible. It follows from the above decomposition that the torsion tensor of any admissible connection can be written as

$$T(e \otimes h, e' \otimes h') = T_1(e, e') \otimes \omega_H(h, h') h_1 + T_2(e, e') \otimes \omega_H(h, h_2) h' + T_2(e, e') \otimes \omega_H(h', h_2) h$$

where e, e' are sections of E^* , h_1, h_2 are fixed sections of $H \cong H^*$, $T_1 \in \Gamma(E^* \otimes S^2 E)$ and $T_2 \in \Gamma(E^* \otimes \wedge^2 E)$. This shows that admissibility of the connection means that the torsion can be represented as the sum of two tensors linear in ω_H .

3.2 Harmonic space

Let M be a half-flat Grassmann manifold. We denote by S_H the $\text{Sp}(1, \mathbb{C})$ -principal holomorphic bundle over M consisting of symplectic bases of $H_m^* \cong H_m \cong \mathbb{C}^2$, $m \in M$,

$$S_H = \{s = (h_+, h_-) \mid \omega_H(h_+, h_-) = 1\}.$$

The bundle $S_H \rightarrow M$ is called **harmonic space** [GIOS]. A parallel (local) section

$$m \mapsto s_m = (h_1(m), h_2(m)) \in S_H$$

defines a trivialisation

$$M \times \mathrm{Sp}(1, \mathbb{C}) \cong S_H ,$$

given by

$$(m, \mathcal{U}) \mapsto s_m \mathcal{U} = \left(h_+ = \sum_{\alpha=1}^2 h_\alpha u_+^\alpha , h_- = \sum_{\alpha=1}^2 h_\alpha u_-^\alpha \right) , \quad \mathcal{U} = \begin{pmatrix} u_+^1 & u_-^1 \\ u_+^2 & u_-^2 \end{pmatrix} ; \det \mathcal{U} = 1 .$$

We denote by $\partial_{++}, \partial_{--}, \partial_0$ the fundamental vector fields on S_H generated by the standard generators of $\mathrm{Sp}(1, \mathbb{C})$,

$$\partial_{++} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} , \quad \partial_{--} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} , \quad \partial_0 \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} .$$

They satisfy the relations

$$[\partial_{++}, \partial_{--}] = \partial_0 , \quad [\partial_0, \partial_{++}] = 2\partial_{++} , \quad [\partial_0, \partial_{--}] = -2\partial_{--} .$$

Consider $\mathrm{Mat}(2, \mathbb{C})$, the vector space of two by two matrices. The matrix coefficients $u_\pm^\alpha$ are coordinates on this vector space. One can easily check that the vector fields $u_+^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial u_-^\alpha}$, $u_-^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial u_+^\alpha}$ and $u_+^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial u_+^\alpha} - u_-^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial u_-^\alpha}$ annihilate the function $\det \mathcal{U} = \epsilon_{\beta\gamma} u_+^\beta u_-^\gamma$, where $\epsilon_{\beta\gamma}$ are the matrix coefficients of the standard symplectic form of $\mathbb{C}^2$. Therefore these vector fields are tangent to the submanifold $\mathrm{Sp}(1, \mathbb{C}) = \{\det \mathcal{U} = 1\} \subset \mathrm{Mat}(2, \mathbb{C})$. One can easily prove:

Lemma 2 *In terms of the identification, $S_H \cong M \times \mathrm{Sp}(1, \mathbb{C})$, the fundamental vector fields on S_H generated by the standard generators of $\mathrm{Sp}(1, \mathbb{C})$ may be written:*

$$\partial_{++} = u_+^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial u_-^\alpha} , \quad \partial_{--} = u_-^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial u_+^\alpha} , \quad \partial_0 = u_+^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial u_+^\alpha} - u_-^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial u_-^\alpha} .$$

We say that a function f on S_H has **charge** c if $\partial_0 f = cf$. The charge measures the difference in the degrees of homogeneity in u_+ and u_- .

Note that any frame $(h_+, h_-) \in S_H$ defines an isomorphism $\mathbb{C}^2 \xrightarrow{\sim} H_m^*$ given by $(z^1, z^2) \mapsto z^1 h_+ + z^2 h_-$. This induces an isomorphism

$$\mathfrak{sp}(1, \mathbb{C}) = \mathfrak{sp}(\mathbb{C}^2) \cong S^2 \mathbb{C}^2 \xrightarrow{\sim} S^2 H_m^* = \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{C}} \{h_+^2, h_-^2, h_+ \vee h_-\} ,$$

where we have identified $\mathfrak{sp}(\mathbb{C}^2)$ with $S^2 \mathbb{C}^2$ using the symplectic form of $\mathbb{C}^2$. The generators of $\mathfrak{sp}(1, \mathbb{C})$ corresponding to $h_+^2, -h_-^2, -h_+ \vee h_-$ under this identification are precisely $\partial_{++}, \partial_{--}, \partial_0$ respectively.

3.3 Canonical distributions on harmonic space

Let $S_H = \{(h_+, h_-) | h_\pm = u_\pm^\alpha h_\alpha, (u_\pm^\alpha) \in \text{Sp}(1, \mathbb{C})\}$ be the harmonic space associated to a half-flat Grassmann manifold M . Here we have fixed a parallel symplectic frame (h_1, h_2) of H^* which defines the trivialisation $S_H = M \times \text{Sp}(1, \mathbb{C})$ of the holomorphic bundle S_H . In particular, the matrix coefficients $u_\pm^\alpha$ of $\text{Sp}(1, \mathbb{C})$ will be considered as holomorphic functions on S_H . Together with local coordinates (x^i) of M , we obtain a system $(x^i, u_\pm^\alpha)$ of local (nonhomogeneous–homogeneous) coordinates on S_H .

For any section $e \in \Gamma(E^*)$ we define vector fields $X_\pm^e \in \mathfrak{X}(S_H)$ by the formula

$$X_\pm^e|_{(h_+, h_-)} = \widetilde{e \otimes h_\pm},$$

where $\widetilde{Y}$ stands for the horizontal lift of a tangent vector Y on M with respect to the connection ∇^H . Since the frame h_α is parallel, this horizontal lift coincides with the horizontal lift with respect to the splitting $S_H = M \times \text{Sp}(1, \mathbb{C})$. This shows that the vector fields $X_\pm^e$ are tangent to $M \times \{(h_+, h_-)\}$ and hence annihilate $u_\pm^\alpha$. If $h_\pm = u_\pm^\alpha h_\alpha$ then $X_\pm^e = u_\pm^\alpha \widetilde{X_\alpha^e}$, where $X_\alpha^e := e \otimes h_\alpha$.

There exists a canonical decomposition

$$TS_H = T^v S_H \oplus \mathcal{D}_+ \oplus \mathcal{D}_-$$

of the (holomorphic) tangent bundle into the vertical sub-bundle $T^v S_H$ and two (holomorphic) distributions $\mathcal{D}_+$ and $\mathcal{D}_-$ spanned respectively by vector fields X_+^e and X_-^e associated with sections e of the bundle E^* . The vertical distribution $T^v S_H$ is spanned by the vector fields $\partial_0, \partial_{++}, \partial_{--}$, which correspond to the standard generators of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sp}(1, \mathbb{C})$.

Lemma 3 *The vector fields $X_\pm^e \in \mathfrak{X}(S_H)$ satisfy the following commutation relations:*

$$\begin{aligned} [\partial_0, X_\pm^e] &= \pm X_\pm^e, \quad [\partial_{\pm\pm}, X_\pm^e] = 0, \quad [\partial_{\pm\pm}, X_\mp^e] = X_\mp^e \\ [X_+^e, X_-^{e'}] &= X_-^{\nabla_{\pi_* X_+^e} e'} - X_+^{\nabla_{\pi_* X_-^{e'}} e} - \widetilde{T}(\pi_* X_+^e, \pi_* X_-^{e'}) \\ [X_\pm^e, X_\pm^{e'}] &= X_\pm^{\nabla_{\pi_* X_\pm^e} e'} - X_\pm^{\nabla_{\pi_* X_\pm^{e'}} e} - \widetilde{T}(\pi_* X_\pm^e, \pi_* X_\pm^{e'}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

where T is the torsion of the Grassmann connection, $\widetilde{T}(X, Y) := \widetilde{T(X, Y)}$ denotes the horizontal lift of the vector $T(X, Y)$ and we have used the abbreviation $\nabla_X e := \nabla_X^E e$.

Proof: The first three equations follow from $X_\pm^e = u_\pm^\alpha \widetilde{e \otimes h_\alpha}$ and the expression for the fundamental vector fields given in Lemma 2. To prove the last equation we first compute the Lie bracket of two vector fields $X = e \otimes h$ and $X' = e' \otimes h$ on M , where h is parallel:

$$[X, X'] = \nabla_X X' - \nabla_{X'} X - T(X, X') = (\nabla_X e' - \nabla_{X'} e) \otimes h - T(X, X'). \quad (3.3)$$

Using this we calculate the commutator

$$\begin{aligned}
[X_\pm^e, X_\pm^{e'}] &= u_\pm^\alpha u_\pm^\beta \left(\widetilde{\nabla_{X_\alpha^e} X_\beta^{e'}} - \widetilde{\nabla_{X_\beta^{e'}} X_\alpha^e} - \widetilde{T}(X_\alpha^e, X_\beta^{e'}) \right) \\
&= (\nabla_{\pi_* X_\pm^e} e' \otimes h_\pm)^\sim - (\nabla_{\pi_* X_\pm^{e'}} e \otimes h_\pm)^\sim - u_\pm^\alpha u_\pm^\beta \widetilde{T}(X_\alpha^e, X_\beta^{e'}) \\
&= X_\pm^{\nabla_{\pi_* X_\pm^e} e' - \nabla_{\pi_* X_\pm^{e'}} e} - \widetilde{T}(\pi_* X_\pm^e, \pi_* X_\pm^{e'}) .
\end{aligned}$$

The expression for $[X_+^e, X_-^{e'}]$ follows similarly. $\square$

We shall use the abbreviation $T(X_\pm^e, X_\pm^{e'}) := \widetilde{T}(\pi_* X_\pm^e, \pi_* X_\pm^{e'})$.

Proposition 2 *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- i) *For any parallel section $h \in \Gamma(H^*)$ the distribution $E^* \otimes h$ on M is integrable.*
- ii) *The distribution $\mathcal{D}_+$ (associated to any parallel frame (h_1, h_2)) on S_H is integrable.*
- iii) *The distribution $\mathcal{D}_-$ on S_H is integrable.*
- iv) *The holomorphic Grassmann structure is admissible, i.e. it has admissible connection.*

Proof: The formula (3.3), where h is parallel shows that the distribution $E^* \otimes h$ is integrable if and only if

$$T(E^* \otimes h, E^* \otimes h) \subset E^* \otimes h . \quad (3.4)$$

Using the decomposition (3.1), one can check that this condition is satisfied for all parallel sections h if and only if the connection is admissible. This proves the equivalence of i) and iv). Since $\pi_*(X_+^e|_{(h_+, h_-)}) = e \otimes h_+$, the last equation in (3.2) shows that the distribution $\mathcal{D}_+$ is integrable if and only if (3.4) holds for all h . Thus i) is equivalent to ii). The equivalence of i) and iii) is proved similarly. $\square$

4 Construction of half-flat connections over half-flat Grassmann manifolds

4.1 Half-flat connections over half-flat Grassmann manifolds

In this section we describe the *harmonic space method* [GIOS] for constructing half-flat connections ∇ (Def. 6 below) in a holomorphic vector bundle $\nu : W \rightarrow M$ over a complex manifold M with admissible half-flat holomorphic Grassmann structure. The basic ingredient of the construction is the lift of geometric data from M to S_H via $\pi : S_H \rightarrow M$. Let ∇ be a holomorphic connection in a holomorphic vector bundle $\nu : W \rightarrow M$. Its curvature

$$F(e \otimes h_\alpha, e' \otimes h_\beta) = \omega_H(h_\alpha, h_\beta) F^{(ee')} + F_{\alpha\beta}^{[ee']} , \quad (4.1)$$

where (h_1, h_2) is the fixed parallel local frame of H^* and e, e' are local sections of E^* . The curvature component $F^{(ee')}$ is symmetric in e, e' and $F_{\alpha\beta}^{[ee']}$ is skew in e, e' and symmetric in α, β . Lifting (4.1) to S_H we obtain the curvature of the pull-back connection $\pi^*\nabla$ in $\pi^*\nu : \pi^*W \rightarrow S_H$ with components, $F(v, \cdot) = 0$, $\forall v \in T^v S_H$, together with

$$\begin{aligned} F(X_{\pm}^e, X_{\pm}^{e'}) &= F_{\pm\pm}^{[ee']} := u_{\pm}^{\alpha} u_{\pm}^{\beta} F_{\alpha\beta}^{[ee']} \\ F(X_{+}^e, X_{-}^{e'}) &= F^{(ee')} + F_{+-}^{[ee']} := F^{(ee')} + u_{+}^{\alpha} u_{-}^{\beta} F_{\alpha\beta}^{[ee']} . \end{aligned}$$

Definition 6 A holomorphic connection ∇ in a holomorphic vector bundle $\nu : W \rightarrow M$ over a complex manifold M with holomorphic Grassmann structure, $T^*M = E \otimes H$, is called **half-flat** if its curvature F satisfies the equation

$$F(e \otimes h_{\alpha}, e' \otimes h_{\beta}) = \omega_H(h_{\alpha}, h_{\beta}) F^{(ee')}, \quad (4.2)$$

where (h_1, h_2) is a parallel local frame of H^* and $F^{(ee')}$ is symmetric in the local sections e, e' of E^* .

Note that (4.2) is equivalent to (2.9). From this definition it follows that for any $h \in H^*$ we have $F(e \otimes h, e' \otimes h) = 0$.

Definition 7 A connection in a holomorphic vector bundle $W \rightarrow S_H$ over harmonic space S_H is called **half-flat** if its curvature F satisfies the equations

$$\begin{aligned} F(X_{+}^e, X_{+}^{e'}) &= 0 \\ F(X_{+}^e, X_{-}^{e'}) &= F^{(ee')} \\ F(X_{-}^e, X_{-}^{e'}) &= 0 \\ F(v, \cdot) &= 0 \quad , \quad \forall v \in T^v S_H , \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

where $F^{(ee')}$ is symmetric in the local sections e, e' of E^* .

Definition 8 Let $\nu : W \rightarrow M$ be a holomorphic vector bundle and ∇ a connection in $\pi^*\nu : \pi^*W \rightarrow S_H$, where $\pi : S_H \rightarrow M$. A local frame of $\pi^*\nu$ defined on $\pi^{-1}(U)$, where U is an open subset of M , is called a **central frame** with respect to ∇ if it is parallel along the fibres of the bundle $\pi : S_H \rightarrow M$.

Remark: If $\chi = (\chi_1, \dots, \chi_r)$ is a local frame of ν then $\pi^*\chi$ will be a central frame with respect to the pull-back $\pi^*\nabla$ of any connection ∇ in ν . The connection 1-form A of $\pi^*\nabla$ with respect to the frame $\pi^*\chi$ satisfies $A(v) = 0$, $A(X_{\pm}^e) = u_{\pm}^{\alpha} A_{\alpha}^e$, where v is any vertical vector and $A_{\alpha}^e = A(\widetilde{X}_{\alpha}^e)$ is a matrix-valued function on M . Conversely, any connection satisfying these conditions is the pull-back of the connection over M with potential $A(X_{\alpha}^e) = A_{\alpha}^e$.

Proposition 3 *Let $\pi : S \rightarrow M$ be any fibre bundle with simply connected fibres over a simply connected manifold M . There is a natural one-to-one correspondence between gauge equivalence classes of connections ∇^M in the trivial bundle $\mathbb{C}^r \times M$ and gauge equivalence classes of connections ∇^S in $\mathbb{C}^r \times S$ satisfying the curvature constraint $F(v, \cdot) = 0$ for all vertical vectors v .*

Proof: It is clear that the pull-back $\nabla^S = \pi^* \nabla^M$ to S of a connection ∇^M defined over M satisfies the curvature constraint. To prove the converse, we will apply the following elementary lemma to the connection 1-form A of a connection ∇ over $N = S$.

Lemma 4 *Let $\pi : N \rightarrow M$ be a submersion with connected fibres and α a p -form on N . Then α is the pull-back $\pi^* \beta$ of a p -form β on M if and only if the inner products $\iota_v \alpha = \iota_v d\alpha = 0$ for all vertical tangent vectors v .*

Since the connection ∇^S is flat along the (simply connected) fibres of π there exists a central frame $\psi = (\psi_1, \dots, \psi_r)$ for ∇^S . Let A be the connection 1-form of ∇^S with respect to this central frame. We then have $A(v) = 0$ for any vertical vector v and the curvature condition $F(v, \cdot) = 0$ implies $dA(v, \cdot) = 0$. Now the above lemma shows that A is the pull-back of a 1-form B on M , which defines a connection ∇^M in the trivial bundle $\mathbb{C}^r \times M$. Since any two central frames differ by a gauge transformation which is a matrix-valued function on M the connection ∇^M is well defined up to a gauge transformation. The pullback $\pi^* \nabla^M$ is gauge equivalent to ∇^S since it has the same expression with respect to the standard frame of $\mathbb{C}^r \times S$ (which is the pull-back of the standard frame of $\mathbb{C}^r \times M$) as ∇^S with respect to the central frame ψ . It is clear that the pull-backs of gauge equivalent connections over M are gauge equivalent connections over S . Applying a gauge transformation to a connection ∇^S which has connection 1-form A with respect to a central frame ψ we get a new connection $(\nabla^S)'$, which has the same connection form A with respect to the transformed frame ψ' . The frame ψ' is therefore central with respect to $(\nabla^S)'$ and the two connections ∇^S and $(\nabla^S)'$ define the same gauge equivalence class of connections over M . $\square$

Proposition 4 *Let $\nu : W = \mathbb{C}^r \times M \rightarrow M$ be a trivial vector bundle over a complex manifold M with admissible half-flat holomorphic Grassmann structure and $\pi^* \nu : \pi^* W = \mathbb{C}^r \times S_H \rightarrow S_H$ its pull-back to S_H . Then any half-flat connection over S_H is gauge equivalent to the pull-back of a half-flat connection over M .*

Proof: It is clear that the pull-back of a half-flat connection is half-flat. To prove the converse, we apply Proposition 3, by which a half-flat connection ∇^S over S_H is gauge equivalent to a pull-back connection $\pi^* \nabla^M$, which is necessarily half-flat. This implies

that ∇^M is half-flat. In fact, if the connection ∇^M were not half-flat then it would have a nontrivial curvature component $F_{\alpha\beta}^{[ee']}$ which would imply that its pull-back $\pi^*\nabla^M$ has for instance a nonzero curvature component $F_{++}^{[ee']}$. But this is impossible since $\pi^*\nabla^M$ is half-flat. $\square$

Corollary 1 *The connection 1-form A of a half-flat connection over S_H with respect to a central frame ψ has the form*

$$A(v) = 0 \quad , \quad A(X_{\pm}^e) = u_{\pm}^{\alpha} A_{\alpha}^e \quad ,$$

where v is any vertical vector and $A_{\alpha}^e = A(\widetilde{X}_{\alpha}^e)$ is a matrix-valued function on M .

Remark: This shows that the half-flat connection is completely determined by the potential in the $\mathcal{D}_+$ -direction, $A(X_+^e) = u_+^{\alpha} A_{\alpha}^e$, with respect to a central frame.

Proof: This follows from Proposition 4 and the remark following Definition 8. $\square$

4.2 The construction

In this section we construct half-flat connections in a bundle $\nu : W \rightarrow M$ over a manifold M with a half-flat admissible Grassmann structure. First we define the weaker notion of an almost half-flat connection over S_H and show how to construct all such connections from appropriate prepotentials. Then we show that any almost half-flat connection over S_H may be used to construct a half-flat connection on M . Since our construction is local in M , we shall assume that the bundles π , ν and $\pi^*\nu$ are trivial, i.e. $\pi : M \times \text{Sp}(1, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M$, $\nu : M \times \mathbb{C}^r \rightarrow M$ and $\pi^*\nu : S_H \times \mathbb{C}^r \rightarrow S_H$.

4.2.1 Construction of almost half-flat connections

The restriction of a half-flat connection to a leaf of the integrable distribution $\langle \mathcal{D}_+, \partial_0 \rangle$ is clearly flat.

Definition 9 *A frame $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_r$ in the holomorphic vector bundle $\pi^*\nu : \mathbb{C}^r \times S_H$ which is parallel along leaves of the integrable distribution $\langle \mathcal{D}_+, \partial_0 \rangle$ is called an **analytic frame**.*

With respect to an analytic frame a connection in the vector bundle $\pi^*\nu$ has components

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{\partial_0}^S &= \partial_0 \\ \nabla_{X_+^e}^S &= X_+^e \\ \nabla_{\partial_{++}}^S &= \partial_{++} + A_{++} := \partial_{++} + A(\partial_{++}) \\ \nabla_{\partial_{--}}^S &= \partial_{--} + A_{--} := \partial_{--} + A(\partial_{--}) \\ \nabla_{X_-^e}^S &= X_-^e + A(X_-^e) . \end{aligned}$$

Definition 10 A connection ∇^S over S_H is called **almost half-flat** if its curvature satisfies the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned} F(X_+^e, X_+^{e'}) &= F(X_+^e, v) = 0 \quad , \quad \forall v \in T^v S_H \\ F(\partial_{++}, \cdot) &= F(\partial_0, \cdot) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

In fact, these equations are not independent; for instance the Bianchi identity with arguments $(X_+, \partial_{++}, \partial_{--})$ together with $F(\partial_{++}, \partial_{--}) = F(\partial_{\pm\pm}, X_+^e) = 0$ implies the equation $F(\partial_{++}, X_-^e) = 0$.

Proposition 5 Any almost half-flat connection satisfies the following equation:

$$F(X_+^e, X_-^{e'}) = F(X_+^{e'}, X_-^e).$$

Proof: Using the integrability of $\mathcal{D}_+$ and $F(X_+^e, X_+^{e'}) = F(\partial_{--}, X_+^e) = 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= [\nabla_{\partial_{--}}^S, F(X_+^e, X_+^{e'})] \\ &= [\nabla_{\partial_{--}}^S, [\nabla_{X_+^e}^S, \nabla_{X_+^{e'}}^S]] - [\nabla_{\partial_{--}}^S, \nabla_{[X_+^e, X_+^{e'}]}^S] \\ &= [\nabla_{X_-^e}^S, \nabla_{X_+^{e'}}^S] + [\nabla_{X_+^e}^S, \nabla_{X_-^{e'}}^S] - \nabla_{[X_-^e, X_+^{e'}]}^S - \nabla_{[X_+^e, X_-^{e'}]}^S \\ &= F(X_-^e, X_+^{e'}) - F(X_-^{e'}, X_+^e). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

It follows that an almost half-flat connection is a generalisation of a half-flat connection, satisfying only those equations in (4.3), which involve curvatures with $\partial_0, \partial_{++}$ or X_+^e in one of the arguments.

Proposition 6 An almost half-flat connection is half-flat if and only if it satisfies $F(\partial_{--}, X_-^e) = 0$.

Proof: By Proposition 5 an almost half-flat connection is required to satisfy all the half-flatness equations (4.3) with the exception of

$$F(\partial_{--}, X_-^e) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad F(X_-^e, X_-^{e'}) = 0. \quad (4.5)$$

The second equation here follows from the first in virtue of the Bianchi identity with arguments $(X_+^e, X_-^{e'}, \partial_{--})$. $\square$

The following proposition shows that an almost half-flat connection is completely determined by the potentials A_{++} and A_{--} with respect to an analytic frame.

Proposition 7 *Let ∇^S be an almost half-flat connection in the vector bundle $\pi^*\nu : \mathbb{C}^r \times S_H \rightarrow S_H$ with potentials A_{++} , A_{--} and $A(X_-^e)$ in an analytic frame. Then:*

(i) *The potential A_{++} is analytic and has charge +2, i.e.*

$$X_+^e A_{++} = 0 \quad , \quad \partial_0 A_{++} = 2A_{++} . \quad (4.6)$$

(ii) *The potential A_{--} satisfies*

$$\partial_{++} A_{--} - \partial_{--} A_{++} + [A_{++}, A_{--}] = 0 \quad , \quad \partial_0 A_{--} = -2A_{--} . \quad (4.7)$$

(iii) *The potential $A(X_-^e)$ is determined by A_{--} and has charge -1:*

$$A(X_-^e) = -X_+^e A_{--} \quad , \quad \partial_0 A(X_-^e) = -A(X_-^e) . \quad (4.8)$$

Conversely, any matrix-valued potentials A_{++} , A_{--} and $A(X_-^e)$ satisfying (4.6), (4.7) and (4.8) define an almost half-flat connection.

Proof: (i) The curvature constraints $F(X_+^e, \partial_{++}) = 0$, $F(\partial_0, \partial_{++}) = 0$, in an analytic frame, take the form (4.6).

(ii) The further almost half-flatness conditions, $F(\partial_{++}, \partial_{--}) = F(\partial_0, \partial_{--}) = 0$ give equations (4.7) for the potential A_{--} .

(iii) Having obtained A_{--} , we can find $A(X_-^e)$ from the equations $F(X_+^e, \partial_{--}) = F(\partial_0, X_-^e) = 0$, which take the form

$$X_+^e A_{--} = A([X_+^e, \partial_{--}]) = -A(X_-^e) \quad , \quad \partial_0 A(X_-^e) = -A(X_-^e) . \quad (4.9)$$

The second equation follows from the first. $\square$

We can now write an algorithm for the construction of all almost half-flat connections:

Theorem 4 *Let A_{++} be an analytic prepotential, i.e. a matrix-valued function on a domain $U = \pi^{-1}(V) \subset S_H$, where $V \subset M$ is a simply connected domain, satisfying (4.6). Let Φ be an invertible matrix-valued function on U which satisfies the equations*

$$\partial_{++} \Phi = -A_{++} \Phi , \quad \partial_0 \Phi = 0 . \quad (4.10)$$

It always exists. The pair (A_{++}, Φ) determines an almost half-flat connection $\nabla^S = \nabla^{(A_{++}, \Phi)}$. Its potentials with respect to an analytic frame are given by A_{++} , $A_{--} = -(\partial_{--} \Phi) \Phi^{-1}$ and $A(X_-^e) = -X_+^e A_{--}$. Conversely, any almost half-flat connection is of this form.

Proof: We consider the connection defined by A_{++} and $A(\partial_0) = 0$ along an orbit sB of the Borel subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$,

$$B = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} t_0 & t_1 \\ 0 & t_0^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \middle| t_0 \in \mathbb{C}^*, t_1 \in \mathbb{C} \right\} \cong \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C} \quad (\text{diffeomorphic}) .$$

It is flat since the second equation of (4.6) is equivalent to $F(\partial_0, \partial_{++})=0$ (vanishing of the curvature along sB). Moreover it has trivial holonomy since the fundamental group of $B \cong \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}$ coincides with the fundamental group of the $\mathbb{C}^*$ -factor and the potential is zero in direction of ∂_0 which is tangent to $\mathbb{C}^*$. An invertible solution to the system (4.10) exists and defines a parallel frame Φ with respect to the flat connection with trivial holonomy defined along each orbit of the Borel group. Since the space of Borel orbits in U is diffeomorphic to $V \times \mathbb{C}P^1$ and is therefore simply connected, a solution Φ exists on the domain U . Now, given any such solution of (4.10), we define $A_{--} := -(\partial_{--}\Phi)\Phi^{-1}$. This solves (4.7), since $F(\partial_{\pm\pm}, \partial_0) = F(\partial_{++}, \partial_{--}) = 0$ is the integrability condition for the system $\partial_{\pm\pm}\Phi = -A_{\pm\pm}\Phi$, $\partial_0\Phi = 0$. Finally, we define $A(X_-^e) := -X_+^e A_{--}$, obtaining an almost half-flat connection by Proposition 7. Now the converse statement follows also from Proposition 7. $\square$

4.2.2 Transformation to the central frame

Since an almost half-flat connection $\nabla = \nabla^S$ is flat in vertical directions, it admits a central frame ψ . The following lemma shows that the solution Φ of equation (4.10) gives a gauge transformation from an analytic frame φ to a central frame $\psi = \varphi\Phi$ for the almost half-flat connection $\nabla^{(A_{++}, \Phi)}$.

Lemma 5 *Let $\nabla = \nabla^{(A_{++}, \Phi)}$ be the almost half-flat connection associated to the analytic prepotential A_{++} with respect to the analytic frame φ and an invertible solution Φ of (4.10). Then the frame $\psi := \varphi\Phi$ is a central frame for the connection ∇ , i.e. the potentials $C(\partial_{\pm\pm})$ and $C(\partial_0)$ with respect to that frame vanish.*

Proof: The result follows from the pure gauge form of $A(\partial_{\pm\pm})$ and $A(\partial_0)$ and the transformation law (2.3) for potentials. $\square$

With respect to the central frame ψ , the almost half flat connection constructed above takes the form:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \nabla_{X_+^e}^S = X_+^e + C(X_+^e) = X_+^e + \Phi^{-1} X_+^e \Phi \\ \nabla_{X_-^e}^S = X_-^e + C(X_-^e) = X_-^e + \Phi^{-1} X_-^e \Phi + \Phi^{-1} X_+^e (\partial_{--}\Phi\Phi^{-1}) \Phi \\ \nabla_{\partial_{++}}^S = \partial_{++} \quad , \quad \nabla_{\partial_{--}}^S = \partial_{--} \quad , \quad \nabla_{\partial_0}^S = \partial_0 \quad . \end{array} \right.$$

Moreover, the equations $F(\partial_{++}, X_+^e) = F(\partial_0, X_+^e) = 0$ imply that the potential $C(X_+^e)$ satisfies the equations

$$\partial_{++}C(X_+^e) = 0 \quad , \quad \partial_0 C(X_+^e) = C(X_+^e) \quad . \quad (4.11)$$

4.2.3 Construction of half-flat connections

We assume now that the analytic prepotential A_{++} is defined globally along the fibres of $\pi : S_H \rightarrow M$. Then, restricting M to an appropriate domain, we may assume that A_{++} is defined globally on S_H . The previous construction then provides an almost half-flat connection over S_H . Using this connection, we may construct a half-flat connection on M . The crucial point is the following:

Proposition 8 *The potential $C(X_+^e)$ of an almost half-flat connection ∇ with respect to a central frame is linear in u_+^α , namely,*

$$C(X_+^e) = u_+^\alpha C(\widetilde{X}_\alpha^e) =: u_+^\alpha C_\alpha^e, \quad (4.12)$$

where $(x^i, u_\pm^\alpha)$ are the local coordinates associated with the trivialisation $S_H = M \times \text{Sp}(1, \mathbb{C})$ and $C_\alpha^e = C_\alpha^e(x^i)$ is a matrix-valued function on M .

Proof: Due to equations (4.11), the result follows from:

Lemma 6 *i) If a holomorphic function f_+ , defined on some domain*

$$U \subset \{u_+^2 \neq 0\} \subset \text{Sp}(1, \mathbb{C}) = \left\{ \mathcal{U} = \begin{pmatrix} u_+^1 & u_-^1 \\ u_+^2 & u_-^2 \end{pmatrix} ; \det \mathcal{U} = 1 \right\}$$

satisfies

$$\partial_{++} f_+ = 0, \quad \partial_0 f_+ = f_+, \quad (4.13)$$

then $f_+ = u_+^\alpha f_\alpha(\frac{u_-^1}{u_+^2})$. Here $f_\alpha(\frac{u_-^1}{u_+^2})$ are holomorphic functions on U invariant under the right action of the Lie algebra of upper-triangular matrices.

ii) Moreover, if the function f_+ is globally defined, then it is linear in u_+^α , i.e. $f_+ = u_+^\alpha f_\alpha$, $f_\alpha = \text{const}$.

Proof: i) One can immediately check that $f_+ = u_+^\alpha f_\alpha(\frac{u_-^1}{u_+^2})$ is a solution of (4.13). We note that the quotient of any two solutions of (4.13) is a solution of the corresponding homogeneous system,

$$\partial_{++} f = 0, \quad \partial_0 f = 0. \quad (4.14)$$

It is sufficient to check that any solution of (4.14) is a function of u_-^1/u_+^2 . To prove this we use the local factorisation of $\text{Sp}(1, \mathbb{C})$ into the product of a Borel subgroup $\mathcal{B}$ and a nilpotent subgroup as follows:

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_+^1 & u_-^1 \\ u_+^2 & u_-^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ c^{-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{B} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

Then $c = u_+^1/u_+^2$ and $\partial_0, \partial_{++}$ are generators of the right action of $\mathcal{B}$. This implies that the solutions of (4.14) are precisely the local functions on $\mathrm{Sp}(1, \mathbb{C})$ invariant under the right action of $\mathcal{B}$. In terms of the local coordinate system (a, b, c) on $\mathrm{Sp}(1, \mathbb{C})$ such functions are functions of $c = u_+^1/u_+^2$ alone.

ii) The restriction $V|_{\mathrm{Sp}(1)}$ to $\mathrm{Sp}(1)$ of any irreducible $\mathrm{Sp}(1, \mathbb{C})$ -module V of holomorphic functions is a (finite dimensional) irreducible $\mathrm{Sp}(1)$ -module of smooth functions on $\mathrm{Sp}(1)$. The condition (4.13) shows that f_+ is a highest weight vector with weight $+1$. Hence f_+ generates a 2-dimensional submodule $\langle f_+ \rangle = \mathrm{span}\{f_+, f_- := \partial_{--}f_+\}$ of holomorphic functions. It remains to show that any two dimensional module of holomorphic functions on $\mathrm{Sp}(1, \mathbb{C})$ is spanned by linear functions. We know two such modules, generated by the highest weight vectors u_+^1 and u_+^2 respectively. On the other hand, by the Peter-Weyl Theorem the multiplicity of the two-dimensional irreducible representation of $\mathrm{Sp}(1)$ in $L^2(\mathrm{Sp}(1))$ is 2. $\square$

Using Proposition 8, with respect to a central frame, we can write $\nabla_{X_\pm^e} = X_\pm^e + u_\pm^\alpha C_\alpha^e$ where the coefficients $C_\alpha^e = C_\alpha^e(x^i)$ are matrix valued functions of coordinates x^i on M . Using them we define a new connection in the trivial bundle $\mathbb{C}^r \times S_H$ over S_H by

$$\begin{cases} \widehat{\nabla}_{X_\pm^e} &= X_\pm^e + u_\pm^\alpha C_\alpha^e \\ \widehat{\nabla}_{\partial_{\pm\pm}} &= \partial_{\pm\pm} \quad , \quad \widehat{\nabla}_{\partial_0} = \partial_0 . \end{cases}$$

Our main result now follows:

Theorem 5 *Let M be a complex manifold with a half-flat admissible Grassmann structure. Let A_{++} be an analytic prepotential, i.e. a solution of (4.6), and Φ an invertible solution of (4.10). Then the connection $\widehat{\nabla} = \widehat{\nabla}^{(A_{++}, \Phi)}$ constructed from the data (A_{++}, Φ) is a half-flat connection in the trivial vector bundle $\mathbb{C}^r \times S_H \rightarrow S_H$ and it is the pull-back of the following half-flat connection ∇^M in the bundle $\mathbb{C}^r \times M \rightarrow M$:*

$$\nabla_{X_\alpha^e}^M = X_\alpha^e + C_\alpha^e . \quad (4.15)$$

Conversely, any half-flat connection over S (or M) is gauge equivalent to one obtained from the above construction.

Proof: The remark after Definition 8 shows that the connection $\widehat{\nabla}$ is the pull-back of the connection ∇^M . It suffices now to show that ∇^M is half-flat. Note that the connections ∇ and $\widehat{\nabla}$ coincide in the direction of X_+^e . Hence, using $C_+^e := u_+^\alpha C_\alpha^e$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= F^\nabla(X_+^e, X_+^{e'}) = F^{\widehat{\nabla}}(X_+^e, X_+^{e'}) \\ &= X_+^e C_+^{e'} - X_+^{e'} C_+^e + [C_+^e, C_+^{e'}] - C([X_+^e, X_+^{e'}]) \\ &= u_+^\alpha u_+^\beta (X_\alpha^e C_\beta^{e'} - X_\beta^{e'} C_\alpha^e + [C_\alpha^e, C_\beta^{e'}] - C([X_\alpha^e, X_\beta^{e'}])) \\ &= u_+^\alpha u_+^\beta F^{\nabla^M}(X_\alpha^e, X_\beta^{e'}) , \end{aligned}$$

since $X_+^e u_+^\beta = 0$. This shows that the curvature $F^{\nabla^M}(X_\alpha^e, X_\beta^{e'})$ is skewsymmetric in α, β , i.e. it belongs to $\wedge^2 H \otimes S^2 E \otimes \text{End } W$. In other words, the connection ∇^M is half-flat.

Conversely, let ∇^S be a half-flat connection over S_H . By Proposition 4 we may assume that it is a pull-back of a half-flat connection ∇^M over M . Since the restriction of ∇^S to the leaves of $\langle \mathcal{D}_+, \partial_0 \rangle$ is flat, there exists an analytic frame (i.e. a frame such that $A(X_+^e) = A(\partial_0) = 0$, in which the potential $A(\partial_{++})$ satisfies the equations (4.6)). Since ∇^S is flat along the (simply-connected) fibres, there exists an invertible solution Φ to the system

$$\partial_{++}\Phi + A_{++}\Phi = \partial_{--}\Phi + A_{--}\Phi = \partial_0\Phi = 0.$$

This shows that ∇^S is gauge-equivalent to the almost half-flat connection $\nabla^{(A_{++}, \Phi)} = \widehat{\nabla}^{(A_{++}, \Phi)}$. $\square$

4.3 Application to hyper-Kähler manifolds with admissible torsion

The above construction can be applied to the complexification of hyper-Kähler manifolds. Recall that any hyper-Kähler manifold admits a (locally defined) Grassmann structure $T^{*\mathbb{C}}M = E \otimes H$, such that the Levi-Civita connection on the cotangent bundle $\nabla = \nabla^E \otimes \text{Id} + \text{Id} \otimes \nabla^H$ is half-flat, i.e. the connection ∇^H is flat. Since the hyper-Kähler metric is Ricci flat, hence analytic, we may, using analytic continuation, extend the manifold M to a complex manifold $M^{\mathbb{C}}$ with holomorphic extension of the hyper-Kähler structure, in particular, we have a holomorphic Ricci flat metric on $M^{\mathbb{C}}$ with holonomy in $\text{Sp}(n, \mathbb{C})$ and half-flat Grassmann structure. This Grassmann structure is admissible since the Levi-Civita connection on $M^{\mathbb{C}}$ has no torsion. Hence we can apply the harmonic space method to construct half-flat connections on holomorphic vector bundles $W \rightarrow M^{\mathbb{C}}$. The complex version of Proposition 1 shows that such connections are Yang-Mills connections. More generally, the method of construction of half-flat connections extends to real analytic (possibly indefinite) hyper-Kähler manifolds with admissible torsion, i.e. with torsion which has zero component in $S^3 H \otimes E^* \otimes \wedge^2 E$. A **hyper-Kähler manifold with admissible torsion** is defined as a pseudo-Riemannian manifold (M, g) with a linear metric connection ∇ with holonomy in $\text{Sp}(k, l)$ which has admissible torsion. As in the (torsionfree) hyper-Kähler case there exists a parallel 4-form given by $\Omega = \sum_\alpha \omega_\alpha \wedge \omega_\alpha$, $\omega_\alpha := g(J_\alpha \cdot, \cdot)$, and half-flat connections are characterised as connections with curvature in $V_{\lambda_1} \otimes \text{End } W$, where V_{λ_1} is the λ_1 -eigenspace of the endomorphism B_Ω associated to Ω . If the form Ω is co-closed then any half-flat connection will be (Ω, λ_1) -self-dual and thus a Yang-Mills connection. We remark that co-closedness of Ω is equivalent to a linear $\text{Sp}(k, l)$ -invariant condition on the torsion.

5 Generalisation to higher-spin Grassmann manifolds

5.1 Higher-spin Grassmann structures

The construction discussed in the previous section is in fact the $m=1$ specialisation of a more general construction of connections on *spin $\frac{m}{2}$ Grassmann manifolds*, which we discuss in this section. These manifolds were considered in [AG].

Definition 11 *A spin $\frac{m}{2}$ Grassmann structure on a (complex) manifold M is a holomorphic Grassmann structure of the form $T^*M \cong E \otimes F = E \otimes S^m H$, with a holomorphic Grassmann connection $\nabla = \nabla^E \otimes \text{Id} + \text{Id} \otimes \nabla^F$, where H is a rank 2 holomorphic vector bundle over M with holomorphic symplectic connection ∇^H and symplectic form $\omega_H \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 H)$, and ∇^F is the connection in $F = S^m H$ induced by ∇^H . M is called **half-flat** if the connection ∇^F is flat.*

The bundle $S^m H$ is associated with the spin $\frac{m}{2}$ representation of the group $\text{Sp}(1, \mathbb{C})$. Any frame (h_1, h_2) for H^* defines a frame for $S^m H^*$, $(h_A := h_{\alpha_1} h_{\alpha_2} \cdots h_{\alpha_m})$, where the multi-index $A := \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_m$, $\alpha_i = 1, 2$. The ∇^H -parallel symplectic form ω_H on H^* induces a bilinear form ω_H^m on $F^* = S^m H^*$ given by

$$\omega_H^m(h_A, h_B) := \mathfrak{S}_A \mathfrak{S}_B \omega_H(h_{\alpha_1}, h_{\beta_1}) \omega_H(h_{\alpha_2}, h_{\beta_2}) \cdots \omega_H(h_{\alpha_m}, h_{\beta_m}),$$

where $\mathfrak{S}_A$ denotes the sum over all permutations of the α 's. This form is skew-symmetric if m is odd and symmetric if m is even. To any section $e \in \Gamma(E^*)$ and multi-index A we associate the vector field $X_A^e := e \otimes h_A$ on M .

The construction of half-flat connections described in section 4.2 may be adapted to obtain certain ‘partially flat’ connections in vector bundles $W \rightarrow M$, provided that the torsion of ∇ obeys certain admissibility conditions.

Definition 12 *Let (M, ∇) be a half-flat spin $\frac{m}{2}$ Grassmann manifold. For any section $e \in \Gamma(E^*)$ we define vector fields*

$$\begin{aligned} X_{(m-2i)+}^e &:= u_-^{\alpha_1} \cdots u_-^{\alpha_i} u_+^{\alpha_{i+1}} \cdots u_+^{\alpha_m} X_A^e & \text{if } m-2i \geq 0 \\ X_{(2i-m)-}^e &:= u_-^{\alpha_1} \cdots u_-^{\alpha_i} u_+^{\alpha_{i+1}} \cdots u_+^{\alpha_m} X_A^e & \text{if } m-2i < 0 \end{aligned}$$

on the principal bundle S_H of symplectic frames in H . The distribution spanned by these vector fields is denoted by $\mathcal{D}_{k+} := \langle X_{k+}^E \rangle$ for $k \geq 0, k \equiv m \pmod{2}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{k-} := \langle X_{k-}^E \rangle$ for $k > 0, k \equiv m \pmod{2}$. We define also

$$\mathcal{D}_{(\pm)}^k := \bigoplus_{i=0}^k \mathcal{D}_{(m-2i)\pm}.$$

The Grassmann connection ∇ is called **k-admissible** if it preserves the distribution $\mathcal{D}_{(\pm)}^k$, i.e.

$$T(\mathcal{D}_{(\pm)}^k, \mathcal{D}_{(\pm)}^k) \subset \mathcal{D}_{(\pm)}^k. \quad (5.1)$$

The Grassmann manifold (M, ∇) is called **k-admissible** if the connection ∇ is k -admissible.

For small m we shall write $X_0^e, X_+^e, X_-^e, X_{++}^e$ etc. instead of $X_{0+}^e, X_{1+}^e, X_{1-}^e, X_{2+}^e$ etc.

Proposition 9 *Let (M, ∇) be a half-flat spin $\frac{m}{2}$ Grassmann manifold. Then the distribution $\mathcal{D}_{(\pm)}^k$ is integrable if and only if the torsion of the Grassmann connection ∇ satisfies equation (5.1).*

The proof is similar to that of Proposition 2.

5.2 Partially flat connections over higher-spin Grassmann manifolds

Let (M, ∇) be a half-flat spin $\frac{m}{2}$ Grassmann manifold and $\nu : W \rightarrow M$ a holomorphic vector bundle. Since our constructions are local we will assume that W is trivial. In the higher spin ($m > 1$) case, there exists, as a natural generalisation of the notion of a half-flat connection, the more refined notion of a k -partially flat connection in ν . The space of two-forms $\wedge^2 T^*M$ has the following decomposition into $GL(E) \otimes \text{Sp}(1, \mathbb{C})$ -submodules:

$$\wedge^2 T^*M = \wedge^2(E \otimes S^m H) = \wedge^2 E \otimes S^2 S^m H \oplus S^2 E \otimes \wedge^2 S^m H,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} S^2 S^m H &= S^{2m} H \oplus \omega_H^2 S^{2m-4} H \oplus \dots \oplus \omega_H^{2[\frac{m}{2}]} S^{2m-4[\frac{m}{2}]} H \\ \wedge^2 S^m H &= \omega_H S^{2m-2} H \oplus \omega_H^3 S^{2m-6} H \oplus \dots \oplus \omega_H^{2[\frac{m}{2}]+1} S^{2m-4[\frac{m}{2}]-2} H. \end{aligned}$$

Here we use the convention that $S^l H = 0$ if $l < 0$.

Let ∇ be a connection in the vector bundle $W \rightarrow M$. Its curvature has the following decomposition, corresponding to the above decomposition of $\wedge^2 T^*M$ into irreducible $GL(E) \cdot \text{Sp}(1, \mathbb{C})$ -submodules:

$$\begin{aligned} F(X_A^e, X_B^{e'}) &= \mathfrak{S}_A \mathfrak{S}_B \sum_{k=0}^{[m/2]} \left(\omega_H(h_{\alpha_1}, h_{\beta_1}) \dots \omega_H(h_{\alpha_{2k}}, h_{\beta_{2k}}) F_{\alpha_{2k+1} \dots \alpha_m \beta_{2k+1} \dots \beta_m}^{(2k)} [ee'] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \omega_H(h_{\alpha_1}, h_{\beta_1}) \dots \omega_H(h_{\alpha_{2k+1}}, h_{\beta_{2k+1}}) F_{\alpha_{2k+2} \dots \alpha_m \beta_{2k+2} \dots \beta_m}^{(2k+1)} (ee') \right), \quad (5.2) \end{aligned}$$

where the tensors $\overset{(2k)}{F} \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 E \otimes S^{2m-4k} H)$ and $\overset{(2k+1)}{F} \in \Gamma(S^2 E \otimes S^{2m-4k-2} H)$.

We note that half-flat connections are those which satisfy the conditions

$$\overset{(2i)}{F} = 0, \quad \text{for all } i \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (5.3)$$

For $m > 1$ these conditions are not suitable for application of the harmonic space method. However, the following more refined restrictions on the curvature are amenable to the method (c.f. [DN1]).

Definition 13 *A connection ∇ in the vector bundle $\nu : W \rightarrow M$ is called **k-partially flat** if $\overset{(i)}{F} = 0$ for all $i \leq 2k$. Here $0 \leq k \leq [\frac{m+2}{2}]$.*

Clearly, $[\frac{m+2}{2}]$ -partially flat connections are simply flat connections. We note that for $m=1$, 0-partially flat connections are precisely half-flat connections. For general odd $m=2p+1$, 0-partially flat connections in a vector bundle ν over flat spaces with spin $\frac{m}{2}$ Grassmann structure were considered by Ward [W]. He chose E to be a rank 2 flat bundle and showed that 0-partially flat connections, for $m > 1$, do not correspond to Yang-Mills connections. Therefore, in our more general setting, we clearly cannot expect 0-partially flat connections to satisfy the Yang-Mills equations for $m > 1$. On the other hand, the penultimate case, $k = [\frac{m}{2}]$, is particularly interesting for odd m :

Theorem 6 *Let M be a half-flat spin $\frac{m}{2}$ Grassmann manifold M . If m is odd and the vector bundle $E^* \rightarrow M$ admits a ∇^E -parallel symplectic form ω_E , then M has canonical $\text{Sp}(E) \cdot \text{Sp}(H)$ -invariant metric $g = \omega_E \otimes \omega_H^m$ and 4-form $\Omega \neq 0$. If Ω is co-closed with respect to the metric g , then any $\frac{m-1}{2}$ -partially flat connection ∇ in a vector bundle W over M is (Ω, λ) -self-dual and hence it is a Yang-Mills connection.*

Proof: To describe Ω we use the following notation: e_a is a basis of E^* , h_α is a basis of H^* , h_A is the corresponding basis of $S^m H^*$ and $X_{aA} := e_a \otimes h_A$ the corresponding basis of $TM = E^* \otimes S^m H^*$. With respect to these bases, the skew symmetric forms ω_E , ω_H and ω_H^m are represented by the matrices ω_{ab} , $\omega_{\alpha\beta}$ and ω_{AB} respectively. We define Ω by

$$\Omega := \sum \omega_{ab} \omega_{cd} \omega_{AC} \omega_{BD} X^{aA} \wedge X^{bB} \wedge X^{cC} \wedge X^{dD},$$

where X^{aA} is the basis dual to X_{aA} . This form is obviously $\text{Sp}(E) \cdot \text{Sp}(H)$ -invariant since we used only ω_E and ω_H in the definition. One can easily check that $\Omega \neq 0$. The connection ∇ is $\frac{m-1}{2}$ -partially flat if and only if its curvature F belongs to the space

$$S^2 E \otimes \omega_H^m \otimes \text{End } W \subset S^2 E \otimes \wedge^2 S^m H \otimes \text{End } W \subset \wedge^2 (E \otimes S^m H) \otimes \text{End } W.$$

Here we use the decomposition

$$\wedge^2 S^m H = \omega_H S^{2m-2} H \oplus \omega_H^3 S^{2m-6} H \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{C} \omega_H^m.$$

The $\mathrm{Sp}(E) \cdot \mathrm{Sp}(H)$ -submodule $S^2 E \otimes \omega_H^m \subset \wedge^2 T^* M$ is irreducible. Therefore it is contained in an eigenspace V_λ of the $\mathrm{Sp}(E) \cdot \mathrm{Sp}(H)$ -invariant operator $B_\Omega : \wedge^2 T^* M \rightarrow \wedge^2 T^* M$. It remains to check that $\lambda \neq 0$. By Lemma 1 it suffices to compute the contraction $K = K_{cCdD} X^{cC} X^{dD}$ of a tensor $S = S_{ab} \omega_{AB} e^a e^b \otimes h^A h^B$ in $S^2 E \otimes \omega_H^m$ with Ω :

$$\begin{aligned} -K_{cCdD} &= S^{ab} \omega^{AB} (\omega_{ab} \omega_{cd} \omega_{AC} \omega_{BD} + \omega_{ac} \omega_{db} \omega_{AD} \omega_{CB} + \omega_{ad} \omega_{bc} \omega_{AB} \omega_{DC} - \omega_{ba} \omega_{cd} \omega_{BC} \omega_{AD} \\ &\quad - \omega_{bc} \omega_{da} \omega_{BD} \omega_{CA} - \omega_{bd} \omega_{ac} \omega_{BA} \omega_{DC} - \omega_{ca} \omega_{bd} \omega_{CB} \omega_{AD} - \omega_{cb} \omega_{da} \omega_{CD} \omega_{BA} \\ &\quad - \omega_{cd} \omega_{ab} \omega_{CA} \omega_{DB} + \omega_{da} \omega_{bc} \omega_{DB} \omega_{AC} + \omega_{db} \omega_{ca} \omega_{DC} \omega_{BA} + \omega_{dc} \omega_{ab} \omega_{DA} \omega_{CB}) \\ &= 4(m+1) S_{cd} \omega_{CD}. \end{aligned}$$

Here ω^{ab} and ω^{AB} denote the inverses of ω_{ab} and ω_{AB} and $S^{ab} = \omega^{aa'} \omega^{bb'} S_{a'b'}$. We have used that $S^{ab} \omega^{AB}$ is skew symmetric under interchange of aA with bB and that $\omega^{AB} \omega_{AB} = -(m+1)$. The above calculation shows that $\lambda = -4(m+1) \neq 0$ and hence any $\frac{m-1}{2}$ -partially flat connection is (Ω, λ) -self-dual and is a Yang-Mills connection by Theorem 1. $\square$

The analogous result does not hold if m is even.

Proposition 10 *If m is even and the vector bundle $E^* \rightarrow M$ admits a ∇^E -parallel metric γ_E , then M has canonical $\mathrm{SO}(E) \cdot \mathrm{Sp}(H)$ -invariant metric $g = \gamma_E \otimes \omega_H^m$ and 4-form $\Omega \neq 0$.*

Proof: Analogously to the case of m odd, we can define Ω by

$$\Omega := \sum \gamma_{ab} \gamma_{cd} \omega_{AC} \omega_{BD} X^{aA} \wedge X^{bB} \wedge X^{cC} \wedge X^{dD}.$$

Here $\gamma_{ab} = \gamma_E(e_a, e_b)$ and we recall that for even m the bilinear form ω_H^m is symmetric: $\omega_{AB} = \omega_{BA}$. $\square$

For even m , a connection ∇ in a vector bundle W over M is $\frac{m}{2}$ -partially flat if and only if its curvature F belongs to the space

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\wedge^2 E \otimes \omega_H^m \oplus S^2 E \otimes S^2 H \otimes \omega_H^{m-1} \right) \otimes \mathrm{End} W \\ & \subset \left(\wedge^2 E \otimes S^2 S^m H \oplus S^2 E \otimes \wedge^2 S^m H \right) \otimes \mathrm{End} W = \wedge^2 (E \otimes S^m H) \otimes \mathrm{End} W. \end{aligned}$$

The $\mathrm{SO}(E) \cdot \mathrm{Sp}(H)$ -submodule $\wedge^2 E \otimes \omega_H^m \oplus S^2 E \otimes S^2 H \otimes \omega_H^{m-1} \subset \wedge^2 T^* M$ is not irreducible, so unlike the odd m case we cannot conclude that it is contained in an eigenspace V_λ of the $\mathrm{SO}(E) \cdot \mathrm{Sp}(H)$ -invariant operator $B_\Omega : \wedge^2 T^* M \rightarrow \wedge^2 T^* M$. In fact, examples are known (see Appendix B of [DN2]) where B_Ω has different eigenvalues on each irreducible summand of $\wedge^2 T^* M$. Therefore in the case of even m we cannot expect that $\frac{m}{2}$ -partial flatness implies the Yang-Mills equations.

5.3 Construction of partially flat connections over higher spin Grassmann manifolds

Now we generalise the construction of half-flat connections over admissible half-flat Grassmann manifolds to the case of k -partially flat connections over k -admissible higher spin Grassmann manifolds M . The natural extension of the harmonic construction given in section 4.2 yields k -partially flat connections in the vector bundle ν over the k -admissible spin $\frac{m}{2}$ Grassmann manifold M . Again, we lift the geometric data from M to S_H via the projection $\pi : S_H \rightarrow M$. The pull back $\pi^*\nabla$ of a k -partially flat connection ∇ in the trivial vector bundle $\nu : W = \mathbb{C}^r \times M \rightarrow M$ is a connection in the vector bundle $\pi^*\nu : \pi^*W \rightarrow S_H$ which satisfies equations defining the notion of a k -partially flat gauge connection on S_H . One can also define the weaker notion of an almost k -partially flat connection in $\pi^*\nu : \pi^*W \rightarrow S_H$. The latter may be constructed from a prepotential and it affords the construction of a k -partially flat connection in the bundle $W \rightarrow M$. To simplify our exposition we explain the construction in the $m=3$ case. Here the decomposition (5.2) of the curvature tensor takes the form

$$\begin{aligned}
F(X_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3}^e, X_{\beta_1\beta_2\beta_3}^{e'}) &= \mathfrak{S}_A \mathfrak{S}_B \left(\overset{(0)}{F}_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3\beta_1\beta_2\beta_3}^{[ee']} + \omega_H(h_{\alpha_1}, h_{\beta_1}) \overset{(1)}{F}_{\alpha_2\alpha_3\beta_2\beta_3}^{(ee')} \right. \\
&\quad + \omega_H(h_{\alpha_1}, h_{\beta_1}) \omega_H(h_{\alpha_2}, h_{\beta_2}) \overset{(2)}{F}_{\alpha_3\beta_3}^{[ee']} \\
&\quad \left. + \omega_H(h_{\alpha_1}, h_{\beta_1}) \omega_H(h_{\alpha_2}, h_{\beta_2}) \omega_H(h_{\alpha_3}, h_{\beta_3}) \overset{(3)}{F}^{(ee')} \right). \quad (5.4)
\end{aligned}$$

In this case we have two nontrivial notions of partial flatness:

$$0\text{-partial flatness : } \overset{(0)}{F} = 0 \quad (5.5)$$

$$1\text{-partial flatness : } \overset{(0)}{F} = \overset{(1)}{F} = \overset{(2)}{F} = 0. \quad (5.6)$$

Clearly, 2-partial flatness is tantamount to flatness. By Theorem 6, a 1-partially flat connection is a Yang-Mills connection.

5.3.1 Construction of 0-partially flat connections

Let M be a 0-admissible spin $\frac{3}{2}$ Grassmann manifold with a 0-partially flat connection ∇ (satisfying (5.5)) in a holomorphic vector bundle $W \rightarrow M$. The pull-back of such a connection ∇ to a connection in the bundle $\pi^*W \rightarrow S_H$, where $\pi : S_H \rightarrow M$, has curvature

F with components given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
F(X_{\pm\pm\pm}^e, X_{\pm\pm\pm}^{e'}) &= 0 \\
F(X_{\pm\pm\pm}^{e'}, X_{\pm}^e) &= u_{\pm}^{\alpha_1} u_{\pm}^{\alpha_2} u_{\pm}^{\alpha_3} u_{\pm}^{\beta_1} u_{\pm}^{\beta_2} u_{\mp}^{\beta_3} F(X_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3}^e, X_{\beta_1\beta_2\beta_3}^{e'}) \\
&= \pm 12 F_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\beta_1\beta_2}^{(1)(ee')} u_{\pm}^{\alpha_1} u_{\pm}^{\alpha_2} u_{\pm}^{\beta_1} u_{\pm}^{\beta_2} =: \pm 12 F_{\pm\pm\pm\pm}^{(1)(ee')} \\
F(X_{\pm}^e, X_{\pm}^{e'}) &= u_{\pm}^{\alpha_1} u_{\pm}^{\alpha_2} u_{\mp}^{\alpha_3} u_{\pm}^{\beta_1} u_{\pm}^{\beta_2} u_{\mp}^{\beta_3} F(X_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3}^e, X_{\beta_1\beta_2\beta_3}^{e'}) \\
&= -8 F_{\alpha_1\beta_1}^{(2)[ee']} u_{\pm}^{\alpha_1} u_{\pm}^{\beta_1} =: -8 F_{\pm\pm}^{(2)[ee']} \\
F(X_{++++}^e, X_{----}^{e'}) &= u_{+}^{\alpha_1} u_{+}^{\alpha_2} u_{+}^{\alpha_3} u_{-}^{\beta_1} u_{-}^{\beta_2} u_{-}^{\beta_3} F(X_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3}^e, X_{\beta_1\beta_2\beta_3}^{e'}) \\
&= 36 \left(F_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\beta_1\beta_2}^{(1)(ee')} u_{+}^{\alpha_1} u_{+}^{\alpha_2} u_{-}^{\beta_1} u_{-}^{\beta_2} + F_{\alpha_1\beta_1}^{(2)[ee']} u_{+}^{\alpha_1} u_{-}^{\beta_1} + F^{(3)(ee')} \right) \\
&=: 36 \left(F_0^{(1)(ee')} + F_0^{(2)[ee']} + F_0^{(3)(ee')} \right) \\
F(X_{\pm\pm\pm}^e, X_{\mp}^{e'}) &= u_{\pm}^{\alpha_1} u_{\pm}^{\alpha_2} u_{\pm}^{\alpha_3} u_{\pm}^{\beta_1} u_{\mp}^{\beta_2} u_{\mp}^{\beta_3} F(X_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3}^e, X_{\beta_1\beta_2\beta_3}^{e'}) \\
&= \pm 24 F_{\pm\pm}^{(1)(ee')} + 12 F_{\pm\pm}^{(2)[ee']} \\
F(X_{+}^e, X_{-}^{e'}) &= u_{+}^{\alpha_1} u_{+}^{\alpha_2} u_{-}^{\alpha_3} u_{+}^{\beta_1} u_{-}^{\beta_2} u_{-}^{\beta_3} F(X_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3}^e, X_{\beta_1\beta_2\beta_3}^{e'}) \\
&= 12 F_0^{(1)(ee')} - 4 F_0^{(2)[ee']} - 12 F_0^{(3)(ee')} \\
F(v, \cdot) &= 0, \tag{5.7}
\end{aligned}$$

where v, v' are vertical vector fields on S_H . The form of these components lead us to:

Definition 14 *A connection in a holomorphic vector bundle $W \rightarrow S_H$ is **0-partially flat** if its curvature satisfies the equations,*

$$\begin{aligned}
F(X_{\pm\pm\pm}^e, X_{\pm\pm\pm}^{e'}) &= 0 \\
F(X_{\pm\pm\pm}^e, X_{\pm}^{e'}) &= F(X_{\pm\pm\pm}^{e'}, X_{\pm}^e) \\
F(X_{\pm}^e, X_{\pm}^{e'}) &= -F(X_{\pm}^{e'}, X_{\pm}^e) \\
F(v, \cdot) &= 0, \quad \forall v \in T^v S_H.
\end{aligned}$$

The restriction of a 0-partially flat connection to a leaf of the integrable distribution $\langle \mathcal{D}_{3+}, \partial_0 \rangle$ is clearly flat. In this case, an **analytic frame** in the holomorphic vector bundle $\pi^* \nu : \mathbb{C}^r \times S_H \rightarrow S_H$ is a frame which is parallel along leaves of this integrable distribution.

With respect to such a frame, a connection in the vector bundle $\pi^*\nu$ can be written as

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{lcl} \nabla_{\partial_0}^S & = & \partial_0 \\ \nabla_{X_{+++}^e}^S & = & X_{+++}^e \\ \nabla_{X_{\pm}^e}^S & = & X_{\pm}^e + A(X_{\pm}^e) \\ \nabla_{\partial_{\pm\pm}}^S & = & \partial_{\pm\pm} + A(\partial_{\pm\pm}) \\ \nabla_{X_{---}^e}^S & = & X_{---}^e + A(X_{---}^e) . \end{array} \right.$$

Definition 15 A connection ∇^S over S_H is called **almost 0-partially flat** if its curvature satisfies the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned} F(X_{+++}^e, X_{+++}^{e'}) &= F(X_{+++}^e, v) = F(X_{\pm}^e, v) = 0 \quad , \quad \forall v \in T^v S_H , \\ F(\partial_{++}, \cdot) &= F(\partial_0, \cdot) = 0 . \end{aligned} \tag{5.8}$$

Following the construction of almost half-flat connections, we may construct almost 0-partially flat connections, which allow deformation to a 0-partially flat connection. As in the case of a half-flat connection (c.f. Proposition 7), an almost 0-partially flat connection is completely determined by the potentials $A_{\pm\pm} =: A(\partial_{\pm\pm})$ with respect to an analytic frame.

Proposition 11 Let ∇ be an almost 0-partially flat connection in the vector bundle $\pi^*\nu : \mathbb{C}^r \times S_H \rightarrow S_H$ with potentials A_{++} , A_{--} , $A(X_{\pm}^e)$ and $A(X_{---}^e)$ in an analytic frame. Then:

(i) The potential A_{++} is analytic and has charge 2, i.e.

$$X_{+++}^e A_{++} = 0 \quad , \quad \partial_0 A_{++} = 2A_{++} . \tag{5.9}$$

(ii) The potential A_{--} satisfies

$$\partial_{++} A_{--} - \partial_{--} A_{++} + [A_{++}, A_{--}] = 0 \quad , \quad \partial_0 A_{--} = -2A_{--} . \tag{5.10}$$

(iii) The potentials $A(X_{\pm}^e)$ and $A(X_{---}^e)$ are then recursively determined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} A(X_+^e) &= -\frac{1}{3} X_{+++}^e A_{--} \\ A(X_-^e) &= \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{--} A(X_+^e) - X_+^e A_{--} + [A_{--}, A(X_+^e)]) \\ A(X_{---}^e) &= \partial_{--} A(X_-^e) - X_-^e A_{--} + [A_{--}, A(X_-^e)] \end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

and they have charges +1, -1 and -3 respectively, i.e.

$$\partial_0 A(X_{\pm}^e) = \pm A(X_{\pm}^e) \quad , \quad \partial_0 A(X_{---}^e) = -3A(X_{---}^e) . \tag{5.12}$$

Conversely, any set of matrix-valued potentials A_{++} , A_{--} , $A(X_{\pm}^e)$ and $A(X_{---}^e)$ satisfying (5.9)–(5.12) define an almost 0-partially flat connection.

Proof: (i) The curvature constraints $F(X_+^e, \partial_{++}) = 0$, $F(\partial_0, \partial_{++}) = 0$, in an analytic frame, take the form (5.9).

(ii) The further almost 0-partial-flatness conditions, $F(\partial_{++}, \partial_{--}) = F(\partial_0, \partial_{--}) = 0$ give equations (5.10) for the potential A_{--} .

(iii) Having obtained A_{--} , we can find $A(X_\pm^e)$ and $A(X_{--}^e)$ from the equations

$$F(\partial_{--}, X_{+++}^e) = 0 \Leftrightarrow -X_{+++}^e A_{--} = A([\partial_{--}, X_{+++}^e]) = 3A(X_+^e)$$

$$F(\partial_{--}, X_+^e) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \partial_{--} A(X_+^e) - X_+^e A_{--} + [A_{--}, A(X_+^e)] = A([\partial_{--}, X_+^e]) = 2A(X_-^e)$$

$$F(\partial_{--}, X_-^e) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \partial_{--} A(X_-^e) - X_-^e A_{--} + [A_{--}, A(X_-^e)] = A([\partial_{--}, X_-^e]) = A(X_{--}^e).$$

Equations (5.12) follow from (5.11). $\square$

Now, using this proposition, a modification of Theorem 4 gives an algorithm for the construction of all almost 0-partially flat connections.

Theorem 7 *Let A_{++} be an analytic prepotential, i.e. a matrix-valued function on a domain $U = \pi^{-1}(V) \subset S_H$, $V \subset M$ a simply connected domain, satisfying (5.9), and Φ an invertible matrix-valued function on U which satisfies the equations*

$$\partial_{++}\Phi = -A_{++}\Phi, \quad \partial_0\Phi = 0. \quad (5.13)$$

Such a function Φ always exists. Then the pair (A_{++}, Φ) determines an almost 0-partially flat connection $\nabla^S = \nabla^{(A_{++}, \Phi)}$. Its potentials with respect to an analytic frame are given by A_{++} , $A_{--} = -(\partial_{--}\Phi)\Phi^{-1}$ and (5.11). Conversely, any almost 0-partially flat connection is of this form.

The proof follows that for Theorem 4 and uses Proposition 11.

To deform an almost 0-partially flat connection into a 0-partially flat connection, we need to find a transformation from the above analytic frame to a central frame. Analogously to Lemma 5 we may prove:

Lemma 7 *Let $\nabla = \nabla^{(A_{++}, \Phi)}$ be the almost 0-partially flat connection associated to the analytic prepotential A_{++} with respect to the analytic frame φ and an invertible solution Φ of (5.13). Then the frame $\psi := \varphi\Phi$ is a central frame for the connection ∇ , i.e. the potentials $C(\partial_{\pm\pm})$ and $C(\partial_0)$ with respect to that frame vanish.*

With respect to the central frame ψ , the above almost 0-partially flat connection then takes the form:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \nabla_{X_{+++}^e}^S = X_{+++}^e + C(X_{+++}^e) = X_{+++}^e + \Phi X_{+++}^e \Phi^{-1} \\ \nabla_{X_{\pm}^e}^S = X_{\pm}^e + C(X_{\pm}^e) \\ \nabla_{X_{---}^e}^S = X_{---}^e + C(X_{---}^e) \\ \nabla_{\partial_{++}}^S = \partial_{++} \quad , \quad \nabla_{\partial_{--}}^S = \partial_{--} \quad , \quad \nabla_{\partial_0}^S = \partial_0 . \end{array} \right.$$

where in terms of the analytic frame potentials $A(X)$, the central frame potentials $C(X)$ are given by $C(X) = \Phi^{-1}A(X)\Phi + \Phi^{-1}(X\Phi)$. Moreover, the equations $F(\partial_{++}, X_{+++}^e) = F(\partial_0, X_{+++}^e) = 0$ imply that the potential $C(X_{+++}^e)$ satisfies the equations

$$\partial_{++}C(X_{+++}^e) = 0 \quad , \quad \partial_0C(X_{+++}^e) = 3C(X_{+++}^e) . \quad (5.14)$$

The following proposition is analogous to Proposition 8 in the half-flat case.

Proposition 12 *The potential $C(X_{+++}^e)$ of an almost 0-partially flat connection ∇ with respect to a central frame is cubic in u_+^α ,*

$$C(X_{+++}^e) = u_+^\alpha u_+^\beta u_+^\gamma C(\widetilde{X_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^e}) = u_+^\alpha u_+^\beta u_+^\gamma C_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^e , \quad (5.15)$$

where the coefficients $C_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^e = C_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^e(x^i)$, symmetric in α, β, γ , are matrix valued functions of coordinates x^i on M and $(x^i, u_\pm^\alpha)$ are the local coordinates associated with the trivialisation $S_H = M \times \text{Sp}(1, \mathbb{C})$.

With respect to a central frame, we can therefore write $\nabla_{X_{+++}^e} = X_{+++}^e + u_+^\alpha u_+^\beta u_+^\gamma C_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^e$. Using $C_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^e$, we now define a new connection in $\pi^*\nu$ over S_H by

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \widehat{\nabla}_{X_{+++}^e} = X_{+++}^e + u_+^\alpha u_+^\beta u_+^\gamma C_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^e \\ \widehat{\nabla}_{X_+^e} = X_+^e + u_+^\alpha u_+^\beta u_-^\gamma C_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^e \\ \widehat{\nabla}_{X_-^e} = X_-^e + u_-^\alpha u_-^\beta u_+^\gamma C_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^e \\ \widehat{\nabla}_{X_{---}^e} = X_{---}^e + u_-^\alpha u_-^\beta u_-^\gamma C_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^e \\ \widehat{\nabla}_{\partial_{++}} = \partial_{++} \quad , \quad \widehat{\nabla}_{\partial_{--}} = \partial_{--} \quad , \quad \widehat{\nabla}_{\partial_0} = \partial_0 . \end{array} \right.$$

The following theorem is the analogue of Theorem 5 in the half-flat case.

Theorem 8 *The constructed connection $\widehat{\nabla}$ is a 0-partially flat connection in $\pi^*\nu$ over S_H and it is the pull-back of the following 0-partially flat connection ∇^M in ν over M :*

$$\nabla_{X_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^e}^M = X_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^e + C_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^e .$$

Proof: As in Lemma 1 we may show that the connection $\widehat{\nabla}$ is the pull-back of the connection ∇^M . It then suffices to show that ∇^M is 0-partially flat. The connections ∇ and $\widehat{\nabla}$ coincide in the direction of X_{+++}^e . Hence, using $C_{+++}^e := u_+^{\alpha_1} u_+^{\alpha_2} u_+^{\alpha_3} C_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3}^e$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= F^{\nabla}(X_{+++}^e, X_{+++}^{e'}) \\
&= F^{\widehat{\nabla}}(X_{+++}^e, X_{+++}^{e'}) \\
&= X_{+++}^e C_{+++}^{e'} - X_{+++}^{e'} C_{+++}^e + [C_{+++}^e, C_{+++}^{e'}] - C([X_{+++}^e, X_{+++}^{e'}]) \\
&= u_+^{\alpha_1} u_+^{\alpha_2} u_+^{\alpha_3} u_+^{\beta_1} u_+^{\beta_2} u_+^{\beta_3} \left(X_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3}^e C_{\beta_1 \beta_2 \beta_3}^{e'} - X_{\beta_1 \beta_2 \beta_3}^{e'} C_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3}^e + [C_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3}^e, C_{\beta_1 \beta_2 \beta_3}^{e'}] \right. \\
&\quad \left. - C([X_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3}^e, X_{\beta_1 \beta_2 \beta_3}^{e'}]) \right) \\
&= u_+^{\alpha_1} u_+^{\alpha_2} u_+^{\alpha_3} u_+^{\beta_1} u_+^{\beta_2} u_+^{\beta_3} F^{\nabla^M}(X_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3}^e, X_{\beta_1 \beta_2 \beta_3}^{e'}) ,
\end{aligned}$$

since $X_{+++}^e u_+^{\beta} = 0$. This shows that the component $\overset{(0)}{F} = 0$ in the decomposition (5.4), i.e. the connection ∇^M is 0-partially flat. $\square$

5.3.2 Construction of 1-partially flat connections

Let M be a 1-admissible spin $\frac{3}{2}$ Grassmann manifold with a 1-partially flat connection ∇ (satisfying (5.6)) in a holomorphic vector bundle $W \rightarrow M$. The pull-back of such a connection to a connection in the bundle $\pi^*W \rightarrow S_H$, where $\pi : S_H \rightarrow M$, has curvature F with components given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
F(X_{\pm\pm\pm}^e, X_{\pm\pm\pm}^{e'}) &= 0 \\
F(X_{\pm\pm\pm}^{e'}, X_{\pm}^e) &= 0 \\
F(X_{\pm}^e, X_{\pm}^{e'}) &= 0 \\
F(X_{+++}^e, X_{---}^{e'}) &= 36 \overset{(3)}{F}^{(ee')} \\
F(X_{\pm\pm\pm}^e, X_{\mp}^{e'}) &= 0 \\
F(X_{+}^e, X_{-}^{e'}) &= -12 \overset{(3)}{F}^{(ee')} \\
F(v, \cdot) &= 0 ,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.16}$$

where v is any vertical vector field on S_H . A connection in a holomorphic vector bundle $W \rightarrow S_H$ is **1-partially flat** if its curvature satisfies the above equations. The restriction of a 1-partially flat connection to a leaf of the integrable distribution $\langle \mathcal{D}_{3+}, \mathcal{D}_{+}, \partial_0 \rangle$ is clearly flat. In this case, an **analytic frame** in the holomorphic vector bundle $\pi^*\nu : \mathbb{C}^r \times S_H \rightarrow S_H$

is a frame which is parallel along leaves of this distribution. With respect to such a frame, a connection in the vector bundle $\pi^*\nu$ can be written as

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \nabla_{\partial_0}^S &= \partial_0 \\ \nabla_{X_{+++}^e}^S &= X_{+++}^e \\ \nabla_{X_+^e}^S &= X_+^e \\ \nabla_{\partial_{++}}^S &= \partial_{++} + A_{++} \\ \nabla_{\partial_{--}}^S &= \partial_{--} + A_{--} \\ \nabla_{X_{---}^e}^S &= X_{---}^e + A(X_{---}^e) \\ \nabla_{X_-^e}^S &= X_-^e + A(X_-^e) , \end{array} \right.$$

with potentials $A(X_{+++}^e) = A(X_+^e) = A(\partial_0) = 0$. We look for solutions of the system (5.16) in this analytic gauge.

Definition 16 *A connection ∇^S over S_H is called **almost 1-partially flat** if its curvature satisfies the equations:*

$$\begin{aligned} F(X_{+++}^e, X_{+++}^{e'}) &= F(X_{+++}^e, X_+^{e'}) = F(X_+^e, X_+^{e'}) = 0 \\ F(X_{+++}^e, v) &= F(X_{\pm}^e, v) = F(\partial_{++}, \cdot) = F(\partial_0, \cdot) = 0 \quad , \quad \forall v \in T^v S_H . \end{aligned} \quad (5.17)$$

In virtue of these equations, the potentials $A_{\pm\pm} =: A(\partial_{\pm\pm})$ determine all other potentials:

Proposition 13 *Let ∇ be an almost 1-partially flat connection in the vector bundle $\pi^*\nu : \mathbb{C}^r \times S_H \rightarrow S_H$ with potentials A_{++} , A_{--} , $A(X_-^e)$ and $A(X_{---}^e)$ in an analytic frame. Then:*

(i) *The potential A_{++} is analytic and has charge 2, i.e.*

$$X_{+++}^e A_{++} = 0 \quad , \quad X_+^e A_{++} = 0 \quad , \quad \partial_0 A_{++} = 2A_{++} . \quad (5.18)$$

(ii) *The potential A_{--} satisfies*

$$\partial_{++} A_{--} - \partial_{--} A_{++} + [A_{++}, A_{--}] = 0 \quad , \quad \partial_0 A_{--} = -2A_{--} . \quad (5.19)$$

(iii) *The potentials $A(X_-^e)$ and $A(X_{---}^e)$ are then recursively determined as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned} A(X_-^e) &= -\frac{1}{2} X_+^e A_{--} \\ A(X_{---}^e) &= \partial_{--} A(X_-^e) - X_-^e A_{--} + [A_{--}, A(X_-^e)] \end{aligned} \quad (5.20)$$

and they have charges -1 and -3 respectively; i.e.

$$\partial_0 A(X_-^e) = \pm A(X_-^e) \quad , \quad \partial_0 A(X_{---}^e) = -3A(X_{---}^e) . \quad (5.21)$$

Conversely, any set of matrix-valued potentials A_{++} , A_{--} , $A(X_-^e)$ and $A(X_{---}^e)$ satisfying (5.18)–(5.21) define an almost 1-partially flat connection.

Proof: (i) Equations (5.18) are equivalent to $F(\partial_{++}, X_{+++}^e) = F(\partial_{++}, X_+^e) = F(\partial_0, \partial_{++}) = 0$.

(ii) The further almost 1-partial-flatness conditions, $F(\partial_{++}, \partial_{--}) = F(\partial_0, \partial_{--}) = 0$ give equations (5.19).

(iii) Having obtained A_{--} , we can find $A(X_-^e)$ and $A(X_{---}^e)$ from the equations

$$F(\partial_{--}, X_+^e) = 0 \Leftrightarrow -X_+^e A_{--} = A([\partial_{--}, X_+^e]) = 2A(X_-^e)$$

$$F(\partial_{--}, X_-^e) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \partial_{--} A(X_-^e) - X_-^e A_{--} + [A_{--}, A(X_-^e)] = A([\partial_{--}, X_-^e]) = A(X_{---}^e).$$

The equations (5.21) follow from (5.20). $\square$

Now, starting from a prepotential A_{++} , which solves (5.18), we may construct an almost 1-partially flat connection. The potential $A_{--} = -(\partial_{--} \Phi) \Phi^{-1}$ is determined, as before, from a solution Φ of (5.13). Then, with the remain potentials in an analytic frame being given by (5.20) and satisfying (5.21), all the other equations in (5.17) follow. This shows that a almost 1-partially flat connection is determined by an arbitrary analytic prepotential A_{++} and an invertible solution Φ of (5.13). As before, Φ is a transition function from an analytic frame to a central frame, in which the above almost 1-partially flat connection takes the form:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \nabla_{X_{+++}^e}^S = X_{+++}^e + C(X_{+++}^e) = X_{+++}^e + \Phi X_{+++}^e \Phi^{-1} \\ \nabla_{X_+^e}^S = X_+^e + C(X_+^e) = X_+^e + \Phi X_+^e \Phi^{-1} \\ \nabla_{X_-^e}^S = X_-^e + C(X_-^e) \\ \nabla_{X_{---}^e}^S = X_{---}^e + C(X_{---}^e) \\ \nabla_{\partial_{++}}^S = \partial_{++} \quad , \quad \nabla_{\partial_{--}}^S = \partial_{--} \quad , \quad \nabla_{\partial_0}^S = \partial_0 . \end{array} \right.$$

Moreover, the equations $F(\partial_{++}, X_{+++}^e) = F(\partial_0, X_{+++}^e) = 0$ imply that the potential $C(X_{+++}^e)$ satisfies the equations

$$\partial_{++} C(X_{+++}^e) = 0 \quad , \quad \partial_0 C(X_{+++}^e) = 3C(X_{+++}^e) .$$

Proposition 14 *The potentials $C(X_{+++}^e)$ and $C(X_+^e)$ of an almost 1-partially flat connection ∇ with respect to a central frame have the form,*

$$C(X_{+++}^e) = u_+^\alpha u_+^\beta u_+^\gamma C_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^e \quad , \quad C(X_+^e) = u_+^\alpha u_+^\beta u_-^\gamma C_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^e ,$$

where $C_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^e$ is a function on M , symmetric in α, β, γ .

With respect to a central frame, we can therefore write

$$\nabla_{X_{+++}^e} = X_{+++}^e + u_+^\alpha u_+^\beta u_+^\gamma C_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^e \quad , \quad \nabla_{X_+^e} = X_+^e + u_+^\alpha u_+^\beta u_-^\gamma C_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^e .$$

We define a modified connection in the bundle $\pi^*\nu$ over S_H by

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{lcl} \widehat{\nabla}_{X_{+++}^e} & = & X_{+++}^e + u_+^\alpha u_+^\beta u_+^\gamma C_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^e \\ \widehat{\nabla}_{X_+^e} & = & X_+^e + u_+^\alpha u_+^\beta u_-^\gamma C_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^e \\ \widehat{\nabla}_{X_-^e} & = & X_-^e + u_-^\alpha u_-^\beta u_+^\gamma C_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^e \\ \widehat{\nabla}_{X_{---}^e} & = & X_{---}^e + u_-^\alpha u_-^\beta u_-^\gamma C_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^e \\ \widehat{\nabla}_{\partial_{++}} & = & \partial_{++} \quad , \quad \widehat{\nabla}_{\partial_{--}} = \partial_{--} \quad , \quad \widehat{\nabla}_{\partial_0} = \partial_0 . \end{array} \right. \quad (5.22)$$

As in the 0-partially flat case, we have:

Theorem 9 *The constructed connection $\widehat{\nabla}$ is a 1-partially flat connection in $\pi^*\nu$ over S_H and it is the pull-back of the following 1-partially flat connection ∇^M in ν over M :*

$$\nabla_{X_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^e}^M = X_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^e + C_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^e . \quad (5.23)$$

Proof: As before one shows that the connection $\widehat{\nabla}$ is the pull-back of the connection ∇^M . It remains to show that ∇^M is 1-partially flat. Since any almost 1-partially flat connection is almost 0-partially flat we have $\stackrel{(0)}{F} = 0$ by Theorem 8. Next we show that $\stackrel{(1)}{F} = 0$. The connections ∇ and $\widehat{\nabla}$ coincide in the direction of X_{+++}^e and X_+^e . Hence, using equation (5.7), which holds for 0-partially flat connections, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= F^\nabla(X_{+++}^e, X_+^{e'}) \\ &= F^{\widehat{\nabla}}(X_{+++}^e, X_+^{e'}) \\ &= 12 \stackrel{(1)}{F}{}_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\beta_1\beta_2}^{(ee')} u_+^{\alpha_1} u_+^{\alpha_2} u_+^{\beta_1} u_+^{\beta_2} . \end{aligned}$$

This shows that the component $\stackrel{(1)}{F}$ in the decomposition (5.4) vanishes. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= F^\nabla(X_+^e, X_+^{e'}) \\ &= F^{\widehat{\nabla}}(X_+^e, X_+^{e'}) \\ &= -8 \stackrel{(2)}{F}{}_{\alpha_1\beta_1}^{[ee']} u_+^{\alpha_1} u_+^{\beta_1} , \end{aligned}$$

implies $\stackrel{(2)}{F}{}^{[ee']} = 0$, and hence that $\widehat{\nabla}$ is 1-partially flat. $\square$

By Theorem 6, the 1-partially flat connection $\nabla_{X_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^e}^M$ in (5.23) is a Yang-Mills connection.

References

- [AG] D.V. Alekseevsky and M.M. Graev, *Grassmann and hyperkähler structures on some spaces of sections of holomorphic bundles* in *Manifolds and geometry*, Ed. de Bartolomeis et al, CUP, Cambridge, 1996
- [AHS] M.F. Atiyah, N.J. Hitchin and I.M. Singer, *Self-duality in four-dimensional Riemannian geometry*, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond.* **A362** (1978) 425–461
- [B] A. L. Besse, *Einstein manifolds*, Springer, Berlin, 1987
- [BKS] L. Baulieu, H. Kanno and I.M. Singer, *Special quantum field theories in eight and other dimensions*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **194** (1998) 149–175 [hep-th/9704167]
- [CS] M.M. Capria and S.M. Salamon, *Yang-Mills fields on quaternionic spaces*, *Non-linearity* **1** (1988) 517–530
- [CDFN] E. Corrigan, C. Devchand, D.B. Fairlie and J. Nuyts, *First order equations for gauge fields in spaces of dimension greater than four*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B214** (1983) 452–464
- [CGK] E. Corrigan, P. Goddard and A. Kent, *Some comments on the ADHM construction in $4k$ dimensions*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **100** (1985) 1-13
- [DN1] C. Devchand and J. Nuyts, *Supersymmetric Lorentz-covariant hyperspaces and self-duality equations in dimensions greater than $(4|4)$* , *Nucl. Phys.* **B503** (1997) 627–656 [hep-th/9704036]
- [DN2] C. Devchand and J. Nuyts, *Super self-duality for Yang-Mills fields in dimensions greater than four*, *J. High Energy Phys.* **12** (2001) 020 [hep-th/0109072]
- [DT] S.K. Donaldson and R.P. Thomas, *Gauge theory in higher dimensions*, in *The geometric universe: science, geometry, and the work of Roger Penrose*, ed. S.A. Huggett, et al., Oxford Univ. Press, 31-47 (1998)
- [GIOS] A. Galperin, E. Ivanov, V. Ogievetsky and E. Sokatchev, *Harmonic superspace*, Cambridge Univ. Press, U.K., 2001; *Gauge field geometry from complex and harmonic analyticities. I. Kähler and selfdual Yang-Mills cases*, *Ann. Phys.* **185** (1988) 1–21
- [K] B. Kostant, *On invariant skew-tensors*, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA.* **42** (1956), 148–151
- [N] T. Nitta, *Vector bundles over quaternionic Kähler manifolds*, *Tohoku Math. J.* **40** (1988) 425–440
- [OV] A.L. Onishchik and E.B. Vinberg, *Lie Groups and Algebraic Groups*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990
- [T] G. Tian, *Gauge theory and calibrated geometry. I.*, *Annals Math. (2)* **151** (2000) 193–268
- [W] R. S. Ward, *Completely solvable gauge field equations in dimension greater than four*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B236** (1984) 381–396